Exercises in Galois Theory

Arpon Basu

April 22, 2024

1 Basic Algebra

Exercise 1.1. *Show that* $p(X) := X^3 - nX + 2$ *is irreducible over* \mathbb{Q} *for* $n \neq -1, 3, 5$ *.*

Proof. Since p is a primitive polynomial, by Gauss's lemma it is enough to prove that p is irreducible on $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ to show it is irreducible on $\mathbb{Q}[X]$.

If p is irreducible, it must either factor into a quadratic and a linear polynomial, or three linear polynomials. In either case, it must have a rational root.

By the rational root theorem, if a/b is a root of p, then $a \mid 2$, and $b \mid 1$. Consequently, ± 1 , ± 2 can be the only rational roots of p. Substituting these 4 values into p yield $n = -1, 3, 5$, and thus if $n \neq -1$ of p. Substituting these 4 values into p yield $n = -1, 3, 5$, and thus if $n \neq -1, 3, 5$, then p is irreducible over Q.

Exercise 1.2. Let *G* be a *p*-group, i.e. $|G| = p^n$ for some prime p. Then *G* admits a normal series decomposition, i.e.

 $G = G_n \triangleleft G_{n-1} \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft G_0 = 1$

where G_{i+1} is a normal subgroup of G_i , and $|G_i| = p^{n-i}$.

Proof. We build inductively. Thus, suppose G_k is a normal subgroup of G of order p^k , $k < n$. It is clear that G_0 exists.
Now ClG, is a n-group and thus by standard class theory arguments, $Z(G/G_1) \neq 1$. Thus, by Now, G/G_k is a p-group, and thus by standard class theory arguments, $Z(G/G_k) \neq 1$. Thus, by Cauchy's theorem, there exists $v \in Z(G/G_k)$ such that ord $(v) = p$. Consider the subgroup $H := \langle v \rangle \subseteq Z(G/G_k)$. Since H is a subgroup of $Z(G/G_k)$, H is a normal subgroup of G/G_k . Now, by the third isomorphism theorem, if K is a group and N is a normal subgroup of K, then the normal subgroups of K and K/N correspond through the projection epimorphism $\pi : K \mapsto K/N$ (i.e. all the normal subgroups of K/N may be obtained by projecting the normal subgroups of K).

Thus, the pullback of $H \subseteq G/G_k$ into G is a normal subgroup of G. But the pullback of H into G has size = $|H| \cdot |G_k|$ = $n \cdot n^k = n^{k+1}$ as desired. $p \cdot p^k = p^{k+1}$, as desired. \Box

2 Field Extensions

Exercise 2.1. Calculate the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt[4]{-2}$ over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$.

Proof. Note that the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt[4]{-2}$ over Q is $X^4 + 2$. Consequently, it's minimal polynomial over Q($\sqrt[4]{2}$) must
be a divisor of $X^4 + 2$. Now consider the factorization of $X^4 + 2$ over $\mathbb{O}(\sqrt[$ be a divisor of X^4 + 2. Now, consider the factorization of X^4 + 2 over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$: √ √

$$
X^4 + 2 = (X^2 - 2^{3/4}X + \sqrt{2})(X^2 + 2^{3/4}X + \sqrt{2})
$$

 $\sqrt[4]{-2}$ satisfies the first polynomial. Since $\sqrt[4]{-2} \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$, the minimal polynomial is of degree ≥ 2, and consequently, $2^2 - 2^{3/4}X + \sqrt{2}$ is the desired polynomial. **Exercise 2.2.** *Show that* Q(√ $\overline{2}$ + √ $\overline{3}) = \mathbb{Q}($ √ 2, $\sqrt{3}$). Find the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{2}$ + √ 3 *over* Q*.*

Proof. It is enough to show that $\sqrt{2}$, √ $\overline{3} \in \mathbb{Q}$ (√ $\overline{2}$ + √ 3). Indeed, ($\overline{2}$ + $(3)^2 = 5 + 2$ √ $\overline{6} \in \mathbb{Q}$ ($\overline{2}$ + $\sqrt{3}$), and thus $\sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}$ √ $\overline{2}$ + √ Now that $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$. Indeed, $(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})^2 = 5 + 2\sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$, and thus $\sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$, and consequently, $5-2\sqrt{6} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}+\sqrt{3})$. However, $5-2\sqrt{6} = (\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{2})^2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}-\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}+\sqrt{2}}$ how that vz, v₃ ∈ Q(vz+ v₃). Indeed, (vz+ v₃) = 3+2 v₀ ∈ Q(vz+ v₃), and thus vo ∈ Q(vz+ v₃),
2 $\sqrt{6}$ ∈ Q($\sqrt{2}$ + $\sqrt{3}$). However, 5 – 2 $\sqrt{6}$ = $(\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2})^2$ = $\frac{\sqrt{3} - \sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{2}}$, and consequent which yields that $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{3} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3})$, as desired. 2,

If we substitute $X = \sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}$, then $X^2 = 5 + 2\sqrt{6}$, and thus $(X^2 - 5)^2 = 24 \implies X^4 - 10X^2 + 1 = 0$. Now,

$$
X^4 - 10X^2 + 1 = (X - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}))(X - (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}))(X - (\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}))(X - (-\sqrt{2} - \sqrt{3}))
$$

Clearly, no linear polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[X]$ divides $X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$, so if at all $X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$ factorizes over \mathbb{Q} , it must split into 2 quadratic polynomials. However, hy checking all 6 combinations of two numb Clearly, no linear polynomial in Q[X] divides $X^2 - 10X^2 + 1$, so if at all $X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$ factorizes over Q, it must split into 2 quadratic polynomials. However, by checking all 6 combinations of two numbers $\alpha, \beta \in {\pm \$ $\alpha + \beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, or $\alpha\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$. √

 $\alpha + \beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$, or $\alpha\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$.
Consequently, $X^4 - 10X^2 + 1$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , and thus is the minimal polynomial of $\sqrt{2}$ + $\overline{3}$. \Box

Aliter. Note that [Q(√ 3,
V √ $\overline{2}$) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q} (√ 3,
.F $\overline{2}) : \mathbb{Q}$ √ $\overline{2})$] \cdot [Q(√ $\overline{2}$) : Q] = 2[Q(√ 3,
5 $\overline{2}) : \mathbb{Q}$ that $[Q(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : Q] = [Q(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : Q(\sqrt{2})] \cdot [Q(\sqrt{2}) : Q] = 2[Q(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : Q(\sqrt{2})]$. Since $\sqrt{3}$ satisfies $X^2 - 3$, $\sqrt{2} \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \$ Alter. Note that $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})]$. Since $\sqrt{3}$ satisfies $X^2 - 3$, we have $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{3}, \sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})] \le 2$. Since $\sqrt{3} \notin \math$ desired. \square

Exercise 2.3. *Prove that* $X^n - 2$ *is irreducible over* \mathbb{Q} *for* $n \geq 2$ *. Conclude that* $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q})$ $\mathbb{E}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q} = n$. Deduce that $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}$, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Q} aren't *finite extensions.*

Proof. The irreducibility follows from Eisenstein's criterion. □

Exercise 2.4. Let *F* be a finite field. Prove that $|F| = p^n$ for some prime p .

Proof. Consider the ring homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \mapsto F$, i.e. $\phi(1) = 1$. Now, ker(ϕ) $\subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ can't be (0), because otherwise ϕ would be injective, which isn't possible, since Z is infinite, and F is finite. Thus ker(ϕ) = (p) (since the only non-trivial ideals of $\mathbb Z$ are (p) for primes p).

Then, by the first isomorphism theorem, we have an injection $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \hookrightarrow F$. Now, we claim that F is a $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ -vector space: Indeed, $(F, +, 0)$ is an abelian group (since *F* is a field). Furthermore, for any $\overline{m} \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, $x \in F$, define $\overline{m} \cdot x := mx$. Then it is easy to verify the axioms of a vector space (see this). it is easy to verify the axioms of a vector space (see [this\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Vector_space).

Exercise 2.5. *Suppose* α *is such that* $\deg_F(\alpha)$ *is odd. Prove that* $F(\alpha) = F(\alpha^2)$ *.*

Proof. Note that $F(\alpha) = F[\alpha]$. Furthermore, if $\deg_F(\alpha) = n$, then $1, \alpha, ..., \alpha^{n-1}$ form a basis of $F(\alpha)$ (as a *F*-vector space).
Since *n* is odd $\{(2k) \text{ mod } n : 0 \le k \le n-1\} = \{k : 0 \le k \le n-1\}$ and thus we're done Since *n* is odd, {(2*k*) mod $n: 0 \le k \le n-1$ } = { $k: 0 \le k \le n-1$ }, and thus we're done. □

Exercise 2.6. Let F be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let $a, b \in F$, where b is not a perfect square in F. Prove that $\sqrt{a + b}$
expressed as $\sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}$ with $m, n \in F$ if and only if $a^2 - b$ is a square in F. *c* be a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. Let a, b \in F, where b is not a perfect square in F. Prove that $\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b}$ can be
 \sqrt{a} with m, n \in F, if and only if a² – h is a square in F. **Exercise 2.6.** Let F be a field of characteristic \neq 2. Let a, b \in F, where b is expressed as $\sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}$, with $m, n \in F$, if and only if $a^2 - b$ is a square in F.

Proof. If $\sqrt{a + b}$ √ $\frac{b}{\sqrt{L}}$ $\sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}$, then $a +$ $\sqrt{m} = \sqrt{m} + \sqrt{n}$, then $a + \sqrt{b} = m + n + 2\sqrt{mn}$. Now, $\sqrt{mn} \notin F$, since otherwise we would have $\sqrt{b} \in F$. Now, \sqrt{b} the degree of $a + \sqrt{b}$ over F is 2 (it can't be 1, and $a + \sqrt{b}$ satisfies $X^2 - 2aX + (a^2 - b) \in F[X]$). Similarly, $m + n + 2\sqrt{mn}$ also has degree 2 over *F*. Since $a + \sqrt{b} = m + n + 2\sqrt{mn}$, they must have the same minimal polynomial. Now, the other root of the minimal polynomial control of the same minimal polynomial. Now, the other root of the minimal polynomial of $m + n + 2\sqrt{mn}$ is $m + n - 2\sqrt{mn}$, which must necessarily equal $a - \sqrt{b}$. Thus $a \pm \sqrt{b} = m + n \pm 2\sqrt{mn}$
(the signs segment of) and thus $a\sqrt{b} = 2\sqrt{mn}$. Consequently such as a , a , a , a , a , minimal polynomial of $m + n + 2\sqrt{mn}$ is $m + n - 2\sqrt{mn}$, which must necessarily equal $a - \sqrt{mn}$
(the signs correspond), and thus $\sqrt{b} = 2\sqrt{mn}$. Consequently we have $b = 4mn$, $a = m + n$.
Compared in $\frac{c^2}{2} - h$ is a gauge th

Conversely, if $a^2 - b$ is a square, then by setting $m = (a + \sqrt{a^2 - b})/2$, $n = (a - \sqrt{a^2 - b})/2$, and doing some algebra we see $\sqrt{a + \sqrt{b}} =$ $\sqrt{m} + \sqrt{m}$ \overline{n} .

 $_′$ </sub>

Exercise 2.7. Let E/k , F/k be finite field extensions, with both E, F being contained in some larger field. Show that:

- *1.* $[EF : k] \leq [E : k][F : k].$
- *2. If* $[E : k]$, $[F : k]$ *are relatively prime, then* $[EF : k] = [E : k][F : k]$ *.*
- *3. Does* $[EF : k]$ *divide the product* $[E : k][F : k]$?

Proof. The proofs are as follows:

- 1. Let $\{e_1,\ldots,e_n\}$ be a basis of E as a k-vector space, and let $\{f_1,\ldots,f_m\}$ be a basis of F as a k-vector space. Since ${e_i}_{i \in [n]}, {f_j}_{j \in [m]}$ are algebraic, $k(e_i, f_j)$ is a finite (and hence algebraic) extension of k, consequently, since $e_i f_j \in$
 $k(e, f_i)$ e.f. is algebraic over k too. Thus, $k(e, f_i)$ is a finite (and hence algebraic) extens $k(e_i, \hat{f}_i)$, $e_i f_j$ is algebraic over k too. Thus, $k({e_i f_j})_{i \in [n], j \in [m]})$ is a finite (and hence algebraic) extension of k. Now, note that every element of EF can be written as $\sum_r \varepsilon_r \phi_r / \sum_s \varepsilon'_s \phi'_s$, where the ε' s belong to E , and the ϕ' s belong
to F . But $s \phi_s s' \phi'_s$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{e, f\}$, and thus to F. But $\varepsilon_r \phi_r, \varepsilon_s' \phi_s'$ can be written as a linear combination of $\{e_i f_j\}$, and thus $EF \subseteq k(\{e_i f_j\}_{i\in[n],j\in[m]}).$ But note that $k(\{e_i f_j\}_{i \in [n], j \in [m]}) = k[\{e_i f_j\}_{i \in [n], j \in [m]}]$, and $\dim_k(k[\{e_i f_j\}_{i \in [n], j \in [m]}]) \leq mn = \dim_k(E) \dim_k(E)$, and thus $\dim_k(E) \leq \dim_k(E) \dim_k(E)$ $\dim_k(EF) \leq \dim_k(E) \dim_k(F).$
- 2. Note that $[EF : k] = [EF : E][E : k]$, and thus $[E : k] | [EF : k]$. Similarly, $[F : k] | [EF : k]$. Since $[E : k]$, $[F : k]$ are co-prime, $[E : k][F : k] | [EF : k]$. However, $[E : k] \leq [E : k][F : k]$, and thus we're done.
- 3. No. Let $k = \mathbb{Q}, E = \mathbb{Q}$
November minimal polynomials √3 $\overline{2}$), $F = \mathbb{Q}(\overline{2})$ √3 $(\overline{2}\omega)$, where both *E* and *F* are embedded naturally in C. Then $EF = \mathbb{Q}(\overline{2})$ √3 urally in C. Then $EF = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}, \omega)$.
 $\sqrt[3]{2}$, $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$, $\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Z}) = 2[EF, \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})]$ Now, the minimal polynomial of ω over \mathbb{Q} is $X^2 + X + 1$. Thus $[EF : \mathbb{Q}] = [EF : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})] \cdot [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3[EF : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})]$. Once again, $[EF : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})] \le 2$, and it can't be 1 since $\omega \notin \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. Thus $[EF : k] = 6$, while $[E : k] \cdot [F : k] = 9$, and 6 doesn't divide 9 doesn't divide 9.

Exercise 2.8. Let α , β be algebraic over F, such that the degrees of α , β are relatively co-prime. Let $g(X)$ be the minimal polynomial *of* β *over* F *. Then* $g(X)$ *remains irreducible even in* $F(\alpha)[X]$ *.*

Proof. Let $G = F(\alpha, \beta)$. Then $[F(\alpha) : F] | [G : F] \implies deg_F(\alpha) | [G : F]$. Similarly, $deg_F(\beta) | [G : F]$. Since the degrees are relatively co-prime $deg_{\alpha}(x)$, $deg_{\alpha}(x) | [G : F]$. Since $[G : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)]$, $deg_{\alpha}(x)$ we have the degrees are relatively co-prime, $\deg_F(\alpha) \cdot \deg_F(\beta) \mid [G : F]$. Since $[G : F] = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)] \cdot \deg_F(\alpha)$, we have $\deg_{F(\alpha)}(G) = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)] \cdot \deg_F(\alpha)$, we have $deg_{F(\alpha)}(\beta) = [F(\alpha, \beta) : F(\alpha)] \geq deg_{F}(\beta) \geq deg_{F(\alpha)}(\beta)$. Thus $deg_{F}(\beta) = deg_{F(\alpha)}(\beta)$, and consequently, $g(X)$ is irreducible over $F(\alpha)[X]$ too. \Box

Exercise 2.9. *Show that there are no (non-identity) ring homomorphisms from* R *to itself. Conclude that* R *is not a finite extension of any proper subfield.*

Proof. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a ring homomorphism. By standard Cauchy equation analysis, $f|_{\mathbb{Q}} = id$.
Now if $x > 0$ then $f(x) = f(\sqrt{x})^2 > 0$ thus for any $a \le h$ we have $f(a) \le f(h)$ since $f(h -$ Now, if $x \ge 0$, then $f(x) = \tilde{f}(\sqrt{x})^2 \ge 0$, thus, for any $a \le b$, we have $f(a) \le f(b)$, since $f(b-a) = f(b) - f(a)$. Now, let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be any real number. Let $\{a, b, \ldots\}$ be a sequence of rationals converging to x from belo let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be any real number. Let $\{q_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of rationals converging to x from below, and let $\{r_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of rationals converging to x from above. We know that $f(x - q_n) \ge 0 \implies f(x) \ge q_n$. Similarly, $f(x) \le r_n$. Thus $a_n \le f(x) \le r_n$, and applying the squeeze theorem yields $f(x) = x$. $q_n \le f(x) \le r_n$, and applying the squeeze theorem yields $f(x) = x$.

Exercise 2.10. *Produce a field* k *and an embedding* k \rightarrow k *such that the extension* k/k *is infinite.*

Proof. Let *F* be an arbitrary field, and let $k = F(x_1, x_2, ...)$. Consider the embedding $k \hookrightarrow k$ induced by $x_i \mapsto x_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This extension is infinite and in fact transcendental $i \in \mathbb{N}$. This extension is infinite, and in fact transcendental. □

Exercise 2.11. Produce fields k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4 such that $k_1 \cong k_2, k_3 \cong k_4$, yet the extensions k_1/k_3 and k_2/k_4 aren't isomorphic.

Proof. Take $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k(x)$, and $k_4 = k(x^2)$. k_3 is isomorphic to k_4 , as is demonstrated by the embedding induced by $x \mapsto x^2$. However, k_1/k_2 is an extension of degree 1, while k_2/k_1 (where the embedding by $x \mapsto x^2$. However, k_1/k_3 is an extension of degree 1, while k_2/k_4 (where the embedding is the natural inclusion) is an extension of degree 2 extension of degree 2. □

Exercise 2.12. *Explain the following apparent paradox:* $k(x) \approx k(x^2)$, yet $1 - x^2t^2$ is irreducible in $k(x^2)[t]$, while $1 - x^2t^2 = (1 - xt)(1 + xt)$ is not irreducible in $k(x^2)[t]$ $(1 - xt)(1 + xt)$ *is not irreducible in* $k(x)[t]$.

Proof. $k(x)$ and $k(x^2)$ are isomorphic, but the natural embedding $k(x^2) \hookrightarrow k(x)$ is *not* an isomorphism; consequently, there is no paradox. Indeed if one takes the image of the polynomial under the map induced by $x \mapsto$ there is no paradox. Indeed, if one takes the image of the polynomial under the map induced by $\hat{x} \mapsto x^2$, then one gets $1 - x^4t^2 - (1 - x^2t)(1 + x^2t)$ which is obviously not irreducible (and factorizes in the same way $1 - x$ $1 - x^4t^2 = (1 - x^2t)(1 + x^2t)$, which is obviously not irreducible (and factorizes in the same way $1 - x^2$) $k(x)[t]).$ 2 factorizes in $k(x)[t]$).

Exercise 2.13. *Given any* $n \in \mathbb{N}$, produce a field extension of degree n.

Proof. The field extension $k(x^{1/n})/k(x)$, where the embedding is the natural inclusion, has degree *n*. Note that $k(x^{1/n}) := k(x)[t]/(t^n - x)$ $k(x)[t]/(t^n - x).$ \Box \Box

Exercise 2.14. Let *k* be an infinite field. If E/k is an algebraic extension, then the cardinality of E equals the cardinality of k . *Conclude that* R *is not algebraic over* Q*.*

Proof. By the embedding theorem, any algebraic E embeds in \overline{k} , so it suffices to show $|\overline{k}| = |k|$ (because we have $|k| \leq |E| \leq |\overline{k}|$). To do that, we shall construct a surjection $\phi : (k[X] - k) \times \mathbb{N} \mapsto \overline{k}$. For any $p \in k[X] - k$, let $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}$ (the ordering is arbitrary) be the roots of p in \overline{k} . Define $\phi(p, m) := \alpha_m \text{ mod } n$. This is surjective, because \overline{k} is algebraic over k, and thus for every $\alpha \in \overline{k}$, there is some $p \in k[X] - k$ such that $p(\alpha) = 0$. Thus $|(k[X] - k) \times \mathbb{N}| \ge |k|$. But $|(k[X] - k) \times \mathbb{N}| = |k[X] - k| = |k|$ as desired $|(k[X] - k) \times \mathbb{N}| = |k[X] - k| = |k|$, as desired.

Remark. *A few remarks are in order:*

- *1. Cardinal arithmetic: If A, B are infinite sets, then* $|A \times B|$ = max{ $|A|, |B|$ }.
- *2.* $|\overline{k}| = |k|$ for infinite fields k.

Exercise 2.15. *If* $[E : F] = p$ (*p is a prime), then* $E = F(\alpha)$ *for* any $\alpha \in E \setminus F$ *.*

Proof. $[F(\alpha): F]$ must divide p. It can't be 1, since $\alpha \notin F$. Thus $[F(\alpha): F] = p$, implying $E = F(\alpha)$.

Exercise 2.16. Let E/F be an extension. This extension is algebraic if and only if every subring of E containing F is a field.

Proof. Suppose E/F is algebraic. Let $K \supseteq F$ be a subring, and let $\alpha \in K \setminus F$. Let $g(X) = X^n + a_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \cdots + a_1X + a_0$ be the minimal polynomial of α over F Since $g(X)$ is irreducible $a_0 \neq 0$. But note that the minimal polynomial of α over F. Since $g(X)$ is irreducible, $a_0 \neq 0$. But note that

$$
\alpha^{-1} = (-a_0)^{-1}(\alpha^{n-1} + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1) \in F[\alpha] \subseteq K
$$

Thus, if $\alpha \in K$, then $\alpha^{-1} \in K$, and thus, K is a field.
Conversely suppose F/F is not algebraic. Then we

Conversely, suppose E/F is not algebraic. Then we have some $t \in E$ which is transcendental over F. Then note that $F[t]$ is a ring containing F, but $F[t]$ is not a field since $t^{-1} \notin F[t]$. is a ring containing F, but $F[t]$ is not a field since $t^{-1} \notin F[t]$. $-1 \notin F[t].$

Exercise 2.17. Let k be a field, and let $\alpha = p(X)/q(X)$ be an element of $E := k(X)$, where $p(X)$, $q(X) \in k[X] - k$, $p(X)$, $q(X)$ are *co-prime. Show that* $E/k(\alpha)$ *is a finite extension, with* $[E : k(\alpha)] = max(deg(p), deg(q))$ *.*

Proof. Consider the polynomial $f(T) := p(T) - \alpha q(T) \in k(\alpha)[T]$. Note that $f(X) = 0$, and thus $X \in E$ is algebraic over $k(\alpha)$, with degree at most deg(f) = max(deg(p), deg(q)). Since E is generated by X over k (and hence $k(\alpha)$), $[E : k(\alpha)] \leq deg(f)$. Consequently, if we can show that f is irreducible in $k(\alpha)[T]$, then f would be the minimal polynomial of X, and we would have $[E : k(\alpha)] = \deg(f) = \max(\deg(p), \deg(q)).$

Now, by Gauss's lemma, to show that f is irreducible in $k(\alpha)[T]$, it is enough to show that it is irreducible in $k[\alpha][T] \cong$ $k[T][\alpha]$. But note that α is a prime element in $k[T][\alpha]$, and consequently, by Eisenstein's criterion applied on f with the prime α , we get that it is irreducible. prime α , we get that it is irreducible.

Exercise 2.18. Let $E = F(x)$, where x is transcendental over F. Let K be a subfield of E containing F such that $K \neq F$. Then x is *algebraic over .*

Proof. Direct corollary of [Exercise 2.17.](#page-3-0) □

Exercise 2.19. *Prove that every element is a sum of two squares in* \mathbb{F}_p *.*

Proof. Note that $\# \{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{F}_p\} = (p+1)/2$. Indeed, the group $(\mathbb{F}_p^{\times}, \cdot, 1)$ has $(p-1)/2$ squares, since it is cyclic (and hence exactly the even powers of the generator are squares) and 0 is also a square. Thus exactly the even powers of the generator are squares), and 0 is also a square. Thus, given any $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, consider the set $\{x - y^2 : y \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. This set also has size $(p + 1)/2$. Consequently, by the pigeonhole principle, the sets $\{x - y^2 : y \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ and $\{z^2 : z \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$ intersect, i.e. $x - y_0^2 = z_0^2$ for some $y_0, z_0 \in \mathbb{F}_p$. But that means $x = y_0^2 + z_0^2$ as desired.

Exercise 2.20. *A field is called formally real if* [−]¹ *is not a sum of squares in it. Let be a formally real field. Let* / *be an odd extension. Prove that is formally real.*

Proof. Note that char(k) = 0, because positive characteristics contain \mathbb{F}_p , and -1 is a sum of squares in \mathbb{F}_p . Thus K/k is a finite separable extension, and hence simple. Thus, let $K = k(\alpha)$. We induct on deg_k(α). The base case is trivial.
Assume for the sake of contradiction that -1 is a sum of squares in K. Then Assume for the sake of contradiction that -1 is a sum of squares in K. Then

$$
-1 = \sum_{i} p_i(\alpha)^2 \implies 1 + \sum_{i} p_i(\alpha)^2 = 0
$$

where p_i 's are polynomials such that $deg(p_i) < deg_k(\alpha)$. Define

$$
p(X) := 1 + \sum_i p_i(X)^2
$$

Denote the minimal polynomial of α over k as $f(X)$. Since α is a root of p , $f(X) \mid p(X)$. Denote $q(X) := p(X)/f(X)$. Now, note that deg(p) ≤ 2(deg_k(α) – 1), and thus deg(q) ≤ deg_k(α) – 2. We also claim that the degree of p is even: Indeed, let the highest degree of any of the n's be m and suppose n: n: have degree m. Then th let the highest degree of any of the p_i 's be m , and suppose p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_r} have degree m . Then the coefficient of X^{2m} is $c^2 + \cdots + c^2 + 0$ since $c_i \neq 0$.
न $\frac{2}{t_1} + \cdots + c_{i_r}^2$, where c_{i_*} is the coefficient of X^m in p_{i_*} . However, since k is formally real, $c_{i_1}^2 + \cdots + c_{i_r}^2 \neq 0$ since $c_{i_*} \neq 0$.
Thus door all number which is at most door (a) . 2. Consequ Thus, deg(q) is an odd number which is at most deg_k(a) – 2. Consequently, factorizing q over k, we get that q must have
an irreducible divisor of odd degree. Let 6 be a root of that divisor. Then $k(6)$ is formally real

an irreducible divisor of odd degree. Let β be a root of that divisor. Then $k(\beta)$ is formally real by the induction hypothesis,
and β is a root of ν . But then ν expresses -1 as a sum of squares in $k(\beta)$, whi and β is a root of p. But then p expresses -1 as a sum of squares in $k(\beta)$, which is a contradiction.

Remark: If
$$
c_1^2 + \cdots + c_r^2 = 0
$$
 for some $c_1, \ldots, c_r \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}$, then $(c_1/c_r)^2 + \cdots + (c_{r-1}/c_r)^2 = -1$.

3 Splitting Fields and Normal Extensions

Exercise 3.1. Find the splitting fields of the following polynomials over \mathbb{Q} : $X^4 - 2$, $X^4 + 2$, $X^4 + X^2 + 1$, $X^6 - 4$, $X^6 + X^3 + 1$.

Proof. The splitting fields are as follows:

- 1. $X^4 2$: Q($\sqrt[4]{ }$
is non-real $\overline{2}$, i). [Q(
Thus adi $\sqrt[4]{2}$, *i*) : ℚ] = 8: Indeed, adjoining $\sqrt[4]{2}$ to ℚ makes the degree 4. *i* doesn't belong to it, because *i* oining *i* doubles the degree is non-real. Thus adjoining i doubles the degree.
- 2. $X^4 + 2$: Q($\sqrt[4]{ }$ $\overline{2}$, *i*).
- 3. $X^4 + X^2 + 1$: Q(*i* $\overline{3}$), [Q(i √ $\overline{3}: \mathbb{Q}]=2.$
- 4. $X^6 4$: Q($\sqrt[3]{2}$, $i\sqrt{3}$), [Q($\sqrt[3]{2}$, $i\sqrt{3}$) : Q] = 6.
- 5. $X^6 + X^3 + 1$: $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta = e^{2i\pi/9}$. $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 9$.

Exercise 3.2. Let $\alpha = 5^{1/4}$. Prove that:

- 1. $\mathbb{Q}(i\alpha^2)$ *is normal over* \mathbb{Q} *.*
- 2. $\mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha)$ *is normal over* $\mathbb{Q}(i\alpha^2)$ *.*
- *3.* $\mathbb{Q}((1 + i)\alpha)$ *is not normal over* \mathbb{Q} *.*

Proof. The proofs are as follows:

- 1. $\mathbb{Q}(i\alpha^2) = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ √ $\overline{5}$) is the splitting field of $X^2 + 5$ over Q.
- 2. Note that $\beta := (1 + i)\alpha = \mathcal{O}(i\alpha^2)$ √ $\sqrt[4]{-5}$. Thus $\beta^2 = 2i$ √ $\overline{5} = 2i\alpha^2$, and thus $\mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ is the splitting field of $X^2 - 2i\alpha^2$ over $\mathbb{Q}(i\alpha^2)$.
- 3. X^4 + 20 has $(1 + i)$ α as its root; however, $(1 i)$ α is also a root of X^4 + 20, yet $(1 i)$ α ∉ Q($(1 + i)$ α). Since X^4 + 20 is irreducible over \bigcirc Q($(1 + i)$ α) is not pormal over \bigcirc . To see how $(1 i)$ α ∉ irreducible over \mathbb{Q} , $\mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha)$ is not normal over \mathbb{Q} . To see how $(1-i)\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha)$, note that if $(1-i)\alpha$ were in $\mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha)$, then we would have $i, \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha)$, implying that $\mathbb{Q}(i,\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha)$. However, $[\mathbb{Q}(i,\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 8$, while $\left[\mathbb{Q}((1+i)\alpha): \mathbb{Q}\right] = 4.$

Exercise 3.3. Let $f \in k[X]$ be a polynomial of degree d. Let L be the splitting field of f over *k*. Then [L : *k*] divides d!.

Proof. We proceed by induction. $d = 1$ is easy to verify. So assume the statement is true for all $d < n$. Thus, assume $deg(f) = n$. Now, we make cases:

1. Suppose f is irreducible over k. Let $\alpha \in L$ be a root of f. Then $f(X) = (X - \alpha)g(X)$, with deg(g) = $n - 1$.

Exercise 3.4. Find the splitting field of $X^{p^n} - 1$ over \mathbb{F}_p .

□

□

Proof. Note that $(X-1)^{p^n} = X^{p^n} + (-1)^{p^n}$ over \mathbb{F}_p . If p is odd, $(-1)^{p^n} = -1$, in which case the splitting field is \mathbb{F}_p itself. If $p \sim -2$ ($(1)^{p^n} = 1$ by type also have $1-1$ so one a spain the splitting $p = 2$, $(-1)^{p^n} = 1$, but we also have $-1 = 1$, so once again the splitting field is $\mathbb{F}_p = \mathbb{F}_2$. Thus the splitting field of $X^{p^n} - 1$
over \mathbb{F}_p is \mathbb{F}_p for all primes n and all $n > 1$ over \mathbb{F}_p is \mathbb{F}_p , for all primes p , and all $n \geq 1$.

Exercise 3.5. Prove that for any prime p and any $n \geq 1$, we have a finite field of order p^n . Furthermore, all finite fields of order p^n
are $\mathbb F$ -isomorphic to each other *are* ^F*-isomorphic to each other.*

Proof. We shall prove that the splitting field of $X^{p^n} - X$ over \mathbb{F}_p is a finite field of order p^n .
Firstly note that the splitting field of $X^{p^n} - X$ over \mathbb{F}_p must be finite since the splitting field

Firstly, note that the splitting field of $\bar{X}^{p^n} - X$ over \mathbb{F}_p must be finite since the splitting field can be obtained by adjoining the finitely many roots of $X^{p^n} - X$ (in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$) to \mathbb{F}_p . Furthermore, by taking formal derivatives, we can see that all roots of X^{p^n} X^{p^n} – X are distinct.
Now also note that X^{p^n} – X are distinct.

Now, also note that the roots of $X^{p^n} - X$ form a field: Indeed, if α, β are roots, then $(\alpha + \beta)^{p^n} = \alpha^{p^n} + \beta^{p^n} = \alpha + \beta$.
Furthermore $(\alpha \beta)^{p^n} - (\alpha^{p^n})(\beta^{p^n}) - \alpha \beta$ and if $\alpha \neq 0$ then $(\alpha^{-1})^{p^n} - (\alpha^{p^n})^{-1} - \alpha^{-1}$. Fi Furthermore, $(\alpha\beta)^{p^n} = (\alpha^{p^n})(\beta^{p^n}) = \alpha\beta$, and if $\alpha \neq 0$, then $(\alpha^{-1})^{p^n} = (\alpha^{p^n})^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$. Finally, for any α , $(-\alpha)^{p^n} = (-1)^{p^n}\alpha$. If p is odd, then we obtain that $-\alpha$ is also a root of the polynomial $X^{p^n} - X$. If $p = 2$, then $-\alpha = \alpha$. Thus, for any α (which is a root of $X^{p^n} - X$), $-\alpha$ is also a root of $X^{p^n} - X$.
Thus the roots of $X^{p^n} - X$ form a field, and fu

Thus the roots of $X^{p^n} - X$ form a field, and furthermore, this field contains \mathbb{F}_p . Thus, this field is the splitting field of $Y^{p^n} - X$ cycle that this field contains exactly p^n elements. Furthermore, all splitti to each other. X^{p^n} – *X* over \mathbb{F}_p . It is clear that this field contains exactly p^n elements. Furthermore, all splitting fields are \mathbb{F}_p -isomorphic to each other. □

Exercise 3.6. *Prove that every finite extension of a finite field is normal.*

Proof. Let K/F be a field extension, with $|K| = q$. Then all elements of K satisfy the equation $X^q = X$, and thus K is the splitting field of E w r t the polynomial $X^q = X \in F[X]$ splitting field of F w.r.t the polynomial $X^q - X \in F[X]$. $\overline{q} - X \in F[X].$

Exercise 3.7. *Prove that every algebraic extension of a finite field is normal.*

Proof. Let K/F be a field extension, where F is finite. Suppose $f \in F[X]$ has a root $\alpha \in K$. Since α is algebraic over F, $F(\alpha)/F$ is a finite extension and hence is a normal extension by the above exercise. Since f has a root in $F(\alpha)$, and since $F(\alpha)/F$ is normal f splits completely over $F(\alpha)$ and hence K. Thus K/F is normal $F(\alpha)/F$ is normal, f splits completely over $F(\alpha)$, and hence K. Thus K/F is normal.

Exercise 3.8. Let K/k be a normal extension, and let $f(X) \in k[X]$ be irreducible over k, such that $f(X) = g(X)h(X)$ over K, *where* $g(X)$, $h(X) \in K[X]$ are irreducible over *K*. Prove that there exists an *k*-automorphism σ of K such that $h = \sigma(g)$. State a *counterexample to this assertion when* K/k *is not normal.*

Proof. Let F be the splitting field of f over k. Let α be a root of g in F, and let β be a root of h in F. Note that we can choose $\beta \neq \alpha$: Indeed, all roots of g and h are distinct, since if g and h had any common root, they would have a non-trivial gcd over F (and hence K), contradicting their irreducibility over K. Now, since α , β are both roots of the irreducible polynomial f over k, there exists an k-embedding $\tau : k(\alpha) \mapsto k(\beta)$ sending α to β . Now, consider the following diagram:

Let *t* be the inclusion $k(\beta) \hookrightarrow \overline{k}$, and consider the map $k(\alpha) \stackrel{\iota \circ \tau}{\longrightarrow} \overline{k}$. Since \overline{k} is algebraic over $k(\alpha)$, and since \overline{k} is algebraically closed, there exists a $k(\alpha)$ -embedding σ from \overline{k} to \overline{k} such that $\sigma|_{k(\alpha)} = \iota \circ \tau$. Furthermore, $\sigma(K) = K$ since K is normal over k, and thus $\sigma|_K$ is a k-automorphism. Consequently, $\sigma(g)$ is also a polynomial in K[X], and furthermore, $\sigma(g)$ has $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ as a root. On the other hand, since σ is a k-automorphism and since $f \in k[X]$, $\sigma(f) = f$. Thus, $\sigma(g)$ is an irreducible (over K) factor of f having β as a root. Since h is the only irreducible factor of f having β as a root, $σ(g) = h$, as desired. √3 √3

For a counterexample, consider $K = \mathbb{Q}(\cos K - \sin K)$ 2) over \mathbb{Q} , and let $f(X) = X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$. Then $f(X) = (X \frac{1}{2}(X^2 + \sqrt[3]{3})$ $\overline{2} \cdot X +$ ∛4) over K . Both $(X -$ √3 e, consider $K =$
 $\overline{2}$) and $(X^2 + \sqrt[3]{x})$ $\overline{2} \cdot X +$ √3 $\overline{4}$) are irreducible over *K*, but they are obviously not images of each other through some automorphism. □

4 Separable Extensions

Exercise 4.1. *Let* char(k) = p. *Let* $f(X) \in k[X]$ *be an irreducible polynomial. Then* f *is not separable iff* $f(X) = g(X^p)$ *for some* $g(X) \in k[X]$ *Consequently for any irreducible polynomial* $f(X) \in k[X]$ $f(X) - h(X^{p^n})$ $g(X) \in k[X]$. Consequently, for any irreducible polynomial $f(X) \in k[X]$, $f(X) = h(X^{p^n})$ for some separable irreducible polynomial $h(X) \in k[X]$ and $n > 0$ $h(X) \in k[X]$ and $n \geq 0$.

Proof. If $f(X)$ is not separable, then $f(X)$ has repeated roots, and thus there is some $\alpha \in \overline{k}$ such that $f(\alpha) = f'(\alpha) = 0$. Now, if $f' \neq 0$, then f , f' have a non-trivial gcd over \overline{k} and hence k (recall that gcd is a field invariant entity), which is not non-series of f are associated possible since f is irreducible. Thus $f' = 0$. But f' can be 0 only when the only non-zero coefficients of f are associated with nowers of X^p i.e. $f(X) = g(X^p)$ with powers of X^p , i.e. $f(X) = g(X^p)$.
Conversely if $f(X) = g(X^p)$, then f' .

Conversely, if $f(X) = g(X^p)$, then $f' = 0$, i.e. all roots of f are identical. Since f is irreducible over k, deg(f) > 1, and consequently f is not separable over k consequently, f is not separable over k .

Remark. If f is an irreducible polynomial over K (where K is an arbitrary field) with $f'(X) \neq 0$, then all roots of $f(X)$ (over \overline{K}) are
distinct Judged X and inidex $f(X)$, $f'(X)$ where $x \in \overline{K}$ then $f(X)$, $f'($ *distinct. Indeed,* $X - \alpha$ divides $f(X)$, $f'(X)$, where $\alpha \in \overline{K}$, then $f(X)$, $f'(X)$ would have a non-trivial gcd in \overline{K} . But the gcd of two non-travial gcd in \overline{K} . But the gcd of two non-travial group of th *polynomials is the same regardless of the field, so the gcd of f, f' would be non-trivial even over K. But f(X) is irreducible over K,* ,
and can only have a non-trivial gcd with polynomials p for which f divides p. However, $\deg(f') < \deg(f)$, and thus f can't divide
f' \overline{a} ′ *.*

Exercise 4.2. *Let* char(K) = $p > 0$ *. Then:*

- *1.* Let L/K be a finite field extension, and let char(K) = p. Prove that L is a separable extension if $[L:K]$ is relatively prime to p.
- 2. Prove that a has a p^{th} root in k iff $X^{p^n} a$ is not irreducible over k for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- 3. Let $\alpha \in \overline{k}$. α is separable over k iff $k(\alpha) = k(\alpha^{p^n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- *4. k* is perfect iff every element of *k* has a pth root in *k*, i.e. $k = k^p$, where $k^p := \{x^p : x \in k\}$ is the image of *k* under the Frobenius and the top in a sumplied to be a supplied to be a supplied to be a supp *map. Recall that a field k is said to be perfect if k/k is separable.*

Proof. The proofs are as follows:

- 1. Write $n := [L : K]$, and let $\alpha \in L$. Then $\deg_K(\alpha) \mid n$, and thus $\deg_K(\alpha)$ is relatively prime to p. Consequently, if $f(X) = X^d$, $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = x^d$, $\lim_{x \to a} f(x) = x^d$, $f(x) = x^d$ $f(X) = X^d + \cdots$ is the minimal polynomial of α over K, then $f'(X) = dX^{d-1} + \cdots \neq 0$. Since $f'(X) \neq 0$, $f(X)$ and $f'(X)$ are co-prime and consequently all roots of f are distinct \overline{a} $\hat{f}(X)$ are co-prime, and consequently, all roots of f are distinct.
- 2. Let $\alpha_n \in \overline{k}$ be such that $\alpha_n^{p^n} = a$. Then $X^{p^n} a = (X \alpha_n)^{p^n}$, i.e. $X^{p^n} a$ has exactly one root in \overline{k} . Now, if $a = b^p$ for some $b \in k$, then $X^{p^n} - a = (X^{p^{n-1}} - b)^p$, and thus $X^{p^n} - a$ is not irreducible over k for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Conversely suppose $f(X) := X^{p^n} - a$ is not irreducible over k. Let $g(X)$ be the minimal polynom Conversely, suppose $f(X) := X^{p^n} - a$ is not irreducible over k. Let $g(X)$ be the minimal polynomial of α_n over k,

and let $f(X) = g(X)^m h(X)$, where $g(X) \nmid h(X)$. Since $g(X)$ is the minimal polynomial, $m \ge 1$. However, note that the only root f has is α . However, any polynomial over $k[X]$ which the only root f has is α_n , and consequently, the only root h has is α_n . However, any polynomial over $k[X]$ which has α_n as a root must be divisible by $g(X)$, which is a contradiction, and thus $f(X) = g(X)^m$. Comparing degrees leads to $m = p^{n-u}$, $\deg(g) = p^u$ for some $u \le n-1$. Note that $u \ne n$ since f is not irreducible. Also note that $g(X) = (X - \alpha_n)^{p^n} = X^{p^n} - \alpha_n^{p^n}$ p^u , and thus $\alpha_n^{p^u} \in k$, since $g(X) \in k[X]$. Set $b := (\alpha_n^{p^u})$ $P^{n-1-u} = \alpha^{p^{n-1}} \in k$. Clearly, $b^p = a$, as desired.

3. Let f be the minimal polynomial of α over k .

Suppose α is not separable, i.e. f is not separable. Then by [Exercise 4.1,](#page-7-0) $f(X) = g(X^p)$ for some irreducible notion in $g(X) \in k[X]$ and consequently deg $(g^p) - \text{d}g g(g^p)$ which implies that deg $(g^p) + \text{d}g g(g^p)$. But polynomial $g(X) \in k[X]$, and consequently, $deg_k(\alpha^p) = deg_k(\alpha)/p$, which implies that $deg_k(\alpha^p) \neq deg_k(\alpha)$. But then $k(\alpha^p) \neq k(\alpha)$ since $[k(\alpha^p) : k] = deg_k(\alpha^p) \neq deg_k(\alpha) = [k(\alpha) : k]$ then $k(\alpha^p) \neq k(\alpha)$, since $[k(\alpha^p) : k] = \deg_k(\alpha^p) \neq \deg_k(\alpha) = [k(\alpha) : k]$.
Conversely suppose α is separable. Let $r(X) \subset k(\alpha^{p^n})$ [X] be the min

Conversely, suppose α is separable. Let $r(X) \in k(\alpha^{p^n})[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of α over $k(\alpha^{p^n})$. Now, note
that α satisfies $X^{p^n} - \alpha^{p^n} \in k(\alpha^{p^n})[X]$ and consequently $r(X) \perp X^{p^n} - \alpha^{p^n}$. But note that that α satisfies $\hat{X}^{p^n} - \alpha^{p^n} \in \hat{k}(\alpha^{p^n})[X]$, and consequently, $r(X) | X^{p^n} - \alpha^{p^n}$. But note that $X^{p^n} - \alpha^{p^n}$ has a single root in \bar{k} , and consequently, r also has a single root in \bar{k} . Furthermore, since α is separable over k, it is also separable over $k(\alpha^{p^n})$. Consequently, the degree of r must be 1. But that implies that $\alpha \in k(\alpha^{p^n})$, which implies $k(\alpha^{p^n}) = k(\alpha)$, as desired as desired.

4. Suppose k is perfect. For any $a \in k$, consider the polynomial $f(X) := X^p - a \in k[X]$. Note that $f(X)$ has the unique sect of $h(x)$ is an at these if $g(x)$ is then the algebraic suppose $h(x)/h$ is excepted to be a second by th unique root $a^{1/p} \in \overline{k}$, and thus if $a^{1/p} \notin k$, then the algebraic extension $k(a^{1/p})/k$ wouldn't be separable, leading to a contradiction contradiction.

Conversely, suppose every element of k has a p^{th} root. Let $f(X) \in k[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial that is not senarable. Then $f(X) = g(X^p)$ for some polynomial $g \in k[X]$. But separable. Then $f(X) = g(X^p)$ for some polynomial $g \in k[X]$. But

$$
f(X) = g(Xp) = \sum a_i (Xp)i = \left(\sum a_i^{1/p} X^i\right)^p
$$

This contradicts the fact that f was irreducible over k .

□

Remark: By the p^{th} root condition, it is easy to see that if F is a characteristic p field, then the largest perfect subfield of *F* is $\bigcap_{i=0}^{\infty} F^{p^i}$.

Exercise 4.3. Let *k* be a field of characteristic p. Let $\alpha \in \overline{k}$ be separable, and let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ be the conjugates of α , *i.e.* $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ are the roots of the minimal polynomial of α over k . Prove that $\alpha_1^{p^{\alpha}}, \ldots, \alpha_d^{p^{\alpha}}$ are the conjugates of $\alpha^{p^{\alpha}}.$

Proof. Let $f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i X^i \in k[X]$ be the minimal polynomial of α over k (note that $a_d = 1$). Then $f(X)$ has $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ as *Froof.* Let $f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} u_i x$ is roots. Now, we claim that

$$
g(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i^{p^n} X^i
$$

has $\alpha_1^{p^{\alpha}}, \alpha_2^{p^{\alpha}}, \ldots, \alpha_d^{p^{\alpha}}$ as its roots. Indeed,

$$
(-1)^{d-i}\frac{a_i}{a_d} = \sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{d-i}} \prod_{j \in S} \alpha_j
$$

Thus,

$$
\sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{d-i}} \prod_{j \in S} \alpha_j^{p^n} = \left(\sum_{S \in \binom{[n]}{d-i}} \prod_{j \in S} \alpha_j\right)^{p^n} = ((-1)^{p^n})^{d-i} \frac{\alpha_i^{p^n}}{a_d^{p^n}}
$$

For odd p , $(-1)^{p^n} = -1$. For $p = 2$, $-1 = 1$. In either case, we're done. Consequently, $\deg_k(\alpha^{p^n}) \le d$. At the same time, since α is separable, $k(\alpha) = k(\alpha^{p^n})$, which means $d = \deg_k(\alpha) = \deg_k(\alpha^{p^n})$, and consequently $g(X)$ is the minimal polynomial of α^{p^n} . But that means that the conjugates of α^{p^n} are $\alpha_1^{p^n}, \ldots, \alpha_d^{p^n}$, as desired desired. \Box

Exercise 4.4. *f* is irreducible over *k*. $h(X) = f(X^{p^n})$ has a root β which is separable over *k*. Show that $h(X) = f_1(X)^{p^n}$ for some $f_1(X) \in k[X]$ $f_1(X) \in k[X]$.

Proof. Let β_1, \ldots, β_r be the conjugates of β . By the previous exercise, $\beta_1^{p^m}, \ldots, \beta_r^{p^m}$ are the conjugates of β^{p^m} . Consequently,

$$
\operatorname{irr}(\beta^{p^n}, k) = \prod_{i=1}^r (X - \beta_i^{p^n})
$$

Then

$$
h(X) = f(X^{p^n}) = \prod_{i=1}^r (X^{p^n} - \beta_i^{p^n}) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^r (X - \beta_i)\right)^{p^n} = \text{irr}(\beta, k)^{p^n}
$$

Exercise 4.5. *Consider the field extension* $k(X, Y)/k(X^p, Y^p)$ *, where* char $(k) = p$ *. Prove that:*

- 1. The degree of the extension is p^2 .
- 2. There are infinitely many intermediate fields between $k(X^p, Y^p)$ and $k(X, Y)$. Consequently, by the Primitive Element Theorem,
 $k(X, Y)$ is not simple over $k(X^p, Y^p)$ $k(X, Y)$ is not simple over $k(X^p, Y^p)$.

Proof. Note that $k(X^p, Y^p) \subset k(X, Y^p) \subset k(X, Y)$. The degree of both the extensions is p, and thus the total degree is p^2 .
Indeed $[k(X, Y) \cdot k(X, Y^p)] = n$: Indeed X is a root of $T^p - Y^p \in k(X, Y^p)$ [*T*]. By Cause's lemma, it is *Proof.* Note that $k(X^r, Y^r) \subset k(X, Y^r) \subset k(X, Y)$. The degree of both the extensions is p, and thus the total degree is p-.
Indeed, $[k(X, Y) : k(X, Y^p)] = p$: Indeed, Y is a root of $T^p - Y^p \in k(X, Y^p)[T]$. By Gauss's lemma, it is enou irreducibility of $T^p - Y^p \in k[X, Y^p][T]$. But note that Y^p is a prime element in $k[X, Y^p]$, and consequently, by Eisenstein's criterion $T^p - Y^p$ is irreducible. The proof of the fact $[k(X, Y^p) \cdot k(X^p, Y^p)] = n$ follows simil criterion, $T^p - Y^p$ is irreducible. The proof of the fact $[k(X, \hat{Y}^p) : k(X^p, Y^p)] = p$ follows similarly.
We claim that $I E(X + zY) : z \in E$ are all distinct intermediate fields, where $E := k(X^p, Y^p)$ Criterion, $I^r = I^r$ is irreducible. The proof of the fact $[\kappa(\lambda, I^r) : \kappa(\lambda^r, I^r)] = p$ follows similarly.
We claim that $\{F(X + zY) : z \in F\}$ are all distinct intermediate fields, where $F := k(X^p, Y^p)$. Indeed, if $F(X + z_1Y) = F(X +$

We claim that $\{F(X + z_1) : z \in F\}$ are an distinct intermediate helds, where $F := k(X^T, I^T)$. Indeed, if $F(X + z_1Y) = F(X + z_2Y)$ (for $z_1 \neq z_2$), then $X + z_1Y \in F(X + z_2Y)$, which implies $Y \in F(X + z_1Y)$, which implies $X \in F(X + z_1Y)$ implies $F(X + z_1Y) = k(X, Y)$. However, that can't be the case since $[F(X + z_1Y) : F] = p$, while $[k(X, Y) : F] = p^2$. To see why $[F(X + z_1Y) : F] = p$, note that $(X + z_1Y)^p = X^p + z_1^p Y^p \in F$, and thus $\deg_F(X + z_1Y) | p$, implying $\deg_F(X + z_1Y) = 1$, p .
But $\deg_F(X + z_1Y) \neq 1$, since that would imply $X + z_1Y \in F$, which can't be the case: Indeed, if

$$
X + z_1 Y = X + Y \cdot \frac{h(X, Y)}{\ell(X, Y)} = \frac{f(X, Y)}{g(X, Y)} \implies Xg(X, Y)\ell(X, Y) + Yh(X, Y)g(X, Y) = f(X, Y)\ell(X, Y)
$$

Note that the degree of all terms on the RHS is divisible by p , while the LHS contains terms whose degrees are not divisible by p , leading to a contradiction. divisible by p , leading to a contradiction.

Exercise 4.6. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_p(X, Y)$, and consider $h(T) := T^{p^2} + XT^p + Y \in k[T]$. Let β be a root of h in \overline{k} . Prove that:

- *1.* β *is not separable over* k *.*
- *2.* $[k(\beta) : k]_i = p$.
- *3. Let* $E = k^{\text{insep}} \cap k(\beta)$ *. Then* $E = k$ *.*
- *4.* One can not decompose the extension $k(\beta)/k$ into a separable and a purely inseparable extension.

Proof. Note that $h(T)$ is irreducible: Indeed, it suffices to show its irreducibility in $\mathbb{F}_p[X, Y][T] \cong \mathbb{F}_p[X, T][Y]$, but h is a linear polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_p[X, T][Y]$, and hence irreducible. Since h is irreducible and monic, it is the minimal polynomial of β over k. Furthermore, $h'(T) = 0$. Thus, since $h(\beta) = h'(\beta) = 0$, β is not separable over k. Furthermore, $[k(\beta) : k] = p^2$.
Now note that β^p is a root of $g(T) = T^p + XT + Y \in k[T]$ and furthermore, $g'(T) \neq 0$. Consequently β Now, note that β^p is a root of $g(T) := T^p + XT + Y \in k[T]$, and furthermore, $g'(T) \neq 0$. Consequently, β^p is separable.
Now, we claim that $k^{sep} \cap k(\beta) = k(\beta^p)$ i.e., the separable closure of k inside $k(\beta)$ equals $k(\beta^p)$ Now, we claim that $k^{\text{sep}} \cap k(\beta) = k(\beta^p)$, i.e. the separable closure of k inside $k(\beta)$ equals $k(\beta^p)$. Indeed, note that $k^{\text{sep}} \cap k(\beta) + k! = 1, n, n^2$ since $\lceil k(\beta) \rceil + k! = n^2$. However, since β is not separable over $[k^{\text{sep}} \cap k(\beta) : k] = 1, p, p^2$, since $[k(\beta) : k] = p^2$. However, since β is not separable over k, $k(\beta)$ is not separable over k, $k(\beta)$ is not separable over k and thus $[k^{\text{sep}} \cap k(\beta) : k] = 1, p$. At the same time, β_i^p is \overline{k} , and thus $[k^{sep} \cap k(\beta) : k] = 1, p$. At the same time, β^p is separable over \overline{k} , and $\beta^p \notin k$ (since g is irreducible, and hence the minimal polynomial of β^p over k). Consequently $[k^{sep} \cap k(\beta) : k] = n$ and th hence the minimal polynomial of β^p over k). Consequently, $[k^{\text{sep}} \cap k(\beta) : k] = p$, and thus $[k(\beta) : k]_s = p$, implying that $[k(\beta) : k] = n$ $[k(\beta) : k]_i = p.$

Since $[k(\beta) : k]_s = p > 1$, $E \subsetneq k(\beta)$, and thus $[E : k] = 1, p$. Suppose $[E : k] = p$, and let $r := \text{irr}(\beta, E)$. Then $deg(r) = [k(\beta) : E] = p$. Now, since $[E : k]_i = [E : k] = p$, $e^p \in k$ for all $e \in E$. Consequently, $r(T)^p \in k[T]$. Furthermore, $r(\beta)^p = 0$ and $deg(r^p) = n^2$. Consequently, $r(T)^p = h(T)$. Now if $r(\beta)^p = 0$, and deg $(r^p) = p^2$. Consequently, $r(T)^p = h(T)$. Now, if

$$
r(T) := T^{p} + r_{p-1}T^{p-1} + \dots + r_{1}T + r_{0} \implies h(T) = r(T^{p}) = T^{p^{2}} + r_{p-1}^{p}T^{p(p-1)} + \dots + r_{1}^{p}T^{p} + r_{0}^{p}
$$

Thus $r_0^p = Y$, $r_1^p = X$, and thus $X^{1/p}$, $Y^{1/p} \in E$, implying that $\mathbb{F}_p(X^{1/p}, Y^{1/p}) \subseteq E$. But by [Exercise 4.5,](#page-9-0) $[\mathbb{F}_p(X^{1/p}, Y^{1/p}) : \mathbb{F}_p(X^{1/p}, Y^{1/p})]$. $\mathbb{F}_p(X, \tilde{Y}) = p^2$, which contradicts the fact that $[E : k] = p$. Thus $[E : k] = 1$, i.e. $E = k$.
Suppose $k(\beta)/k$ could be decomposed into $k(\beta)/E$, E/k , where $k(\beta)/E$ was separable

Suppose $k(\beta)/k$ could be decomposed into $k(\beta)/F$, F/k , where $k(\beta)/F$ was separable, and F/k was purely inseparable.
Since F/k is purely inseparable, $F \subseteq k^{\text{insep}} \cap k(\beta) = E = k$, and thus $F = k$. But $k(\beta)/F = k(\beta)/k$ is not sep Since F/k is purely inseparable, $\hat{F} \subseteq k^{\text{insep}} \cap k(\beta) = E = k$, and thus $F = k$. But $k(\beta)/F = k(\beta)/k$ is not separable.

Exercise 4.7. Let k be a field and let K/k be an algebraic extension such that every non-constant polynomial in k has a root in K . *Then is algebraically closed.*

Proof. Fix an algebraic closure \overline{k} , and WLOG assume $K \subseteq \overline{k}$. We will show that $K = \overline{k}$. It suffices to show that for every $\beta \in \overline{k}$, we have $\beta \in K$. Now, let β_1, \ldots, β_n be the conjugates of β , and let $F := k(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n)$ be the splitting field of irr(β , k) in \overline{k} . Since F/k is normal, we have $F = F_1F_2$, where $F_1 := k^{\text{insep}} \cap F$, $F_2 := k^{\text{sep}} \cap F$. Consequently, it suffices to show that $F_1 \subset K$ $F_2 \subset K$ We now proceed case by case: $F_1 \subseteq K$, $F_2 \subseteq K$. We now proceed case by case:

- 1. F_1 ⊂ K is obvious: Indeed, if $\alpha \in F_1$, then irr(α , k) ∈ $k[X]$ has α as a unique root in \overline{k} , which must belong to K by the problem hypothesis.
- 2. F_2 ⊂ K: Note that F_2/k is a finite separable field extension, and thus is simple by the primitive element theorem. Thus, let $F_2 = k(\gamma)$ for some $\gamma \in \overline{k}$. Now, if $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ are the conjugates of γ , then we claim that $k(\gamma_i) = k(\gamma)$: Indeed, note that F_2 is normal, and hence $\gamma_i \in F = k(\gamma) \implies k(\gamma_i) \subseteq k(\gamma)$. However, since γ_i is a conjugate of γ , $k(\gamma_i) \in k(\gamma)$. However, $k(\gamma_i) \in k(\gamma)$ is a conjugate of γ , $[k(\gamma_i): k] = [k(\gamma): k]$, and thus $k(\gamma_i) = k(\gamma)$. Now, consider $irr(\gamma, k) \in k[X]$. By the problem hypothesis, some root of this polynomial must lie in K , i.e. $\gamma_i \in K$ for some i , i.e. $k(\gamma_i) \subset K \Longleftrightarrow F_2 \subset K$, as desired.

□

Exercise 4.8. *Prove that for every* $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$, $f(X) := X^p - X + a$ is irreducible over \mathbb{F}_p , and hence separable. ŗ

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p$ be a root of $f(X)$. Then note that $\alpha + b$, where $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, is also a root of f, since $b^p = b$. Now suppose $f(X) = \alpha(X)b(X)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ [X] where $\deg(\alpha) \leq n$. Then the roots of α $f(X) = g(X)h(X)$ for some $g \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$, where $deg(g) < p$. Then the roots of g are of the form $\alpha + b_1, \alpha + b_2, \dots, \alpha + b_{deg(g)}$.
These roots sum up to α , $deg(g) + h$ for some $h \in \mathbb{F}$ and since $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}$ [X], α , $deg(g) + h \in \$ These roots sum up to $\alpha \cdot \deg(g) + b$ for some $b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, and since $g(X) \in \mathbb{F}_p[X]$, $\alpha \cdot \deg(g) + b \in \mathbb{F}_p$, implying that $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_p$, since $deg(g) < p$ is non-zero. But for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_p$, $x^p - x + a = a \neq 0$, which leads to a contradiction.

Exercise 4.9. *Prove the following statements:*

- 1. $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$ iff $d \mid n$.
- 2. Let q be a prime power, and let $f(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree d. Then $d | n$ iff $f(X) | (X^{q^n} X)$.
- *3. Let* I_d be the set of all monic irreducible polynomials of degree d over \mathbb{F}_q . Then

$$
X^{q^n} - X = \prod_{d|n} \prod_{f \in I_d} f(X)
$$

4. Given any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and prime power q, there is a degree n irreducible polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q *.*

Proof. The proofs are as follows:

1. Let α generate $\mathbb{F}_{p^d}^{\times}$, and β generate $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}^{\times}$. The order of α is $p^d - 1$, while the order of β is $p^n - 1$. Now, write $n = d\ell + k$, where $0 \le k < d$. Then $p^d - 1$ divides $p^{d\ell} - 1$, and hence $p^n - p^k$.
Now suppose $d \nmid n$ and $(n^d - 1) \mid (n^n - 1)$. Then $(n^d - 1) \mid (n^k - 1)$.

Now, suppose $d \nmid n$, and $(p^d - 1) \mid (p^n - 1)$. Then $(p^d - 1) \mid (p^k - 1)$, which is a contradiction since $0 \le k \le n$. But this also means that \mathbb{F}_d , $\sigma \mathbb{F}_x$ since if $\mathbb{F}_d \subset \mathbb{F}_x$, then α would have been a no this also means that $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \not\subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, since if $\mathbb{F}_{p^d} \subset \mathbb{F}_{p^n}$, then α would have been a power of β , and the order of β would be divisible by the order of α divisible by the order of α .

Conversely, suppose $d \mid n$. Then $(p^d - 1) \mid (p^n - 1)$, and consequently, $X^{p^n-1} - 1 = (X^{p^d-1})^t - 1$, where $t := (p^n - 1) \cdot (a^d - 1)$. Put $X^{p^d-1} - 1$ discrete (X^{p^d-1}) denotes the state of $X^{p^d-1} - 1$ are let found in $\$ $(p^n-1)/(p^d-1)$. But $X^{p^d-1}-1$ divides $(X^{p^d-1})^t-1$, and consequently, all roots of $X^{p^d-1}-1$ can be found in \mathbb{F}_{p^n} , which is the set of roots of $X^{p^n-1} - 1$. But we also know that the roots of $X^{p^d-1} - 1$ form a field isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{p^d} , and thus we can take the roots of $X^{p^d-1} - 1$ to form a copy of \mathbb{F}_{p^d} within $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}.$

- 2. Let *E* be the splitting field of *f* over \mathbb{F}_q . Note that $f(X) | (X^{q^n} X)$ is equivalent to $E \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{-1}$ $E \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{-1}$ $E \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^n}^{-1}$. Now, let α be some root of *f* and consider the field \mathbb{F} (α) since \mathbb{F} (α) is an a root of f, and consider the field $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$. Since $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ is an algebraic extension of \mathbb{F}_q , it is normal (we use [Exercise 3.7](#page-6-0) to conclude this). Since α is the root of an irreducible polynomial f , $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$ contains all roots of f , and thus $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) \supseteq E$. At the same time, $E \supseteq \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$, since E contains all roots of f. Thus $E = \mathbb{F}_q(\alpha)$, and $[E : \mathbb{F}_q] = \deg_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\alpha) = \deg(f) = d$. Now, if $E \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, then $[E : \mathbb{F}_q] \mid [\mathbb{F}_{q^n} : \mathbb{F}_q] = n$, and consequently, $d \mid n$.
Conversely assume $d \mid n$, As above $[F : \mathbb{F} \mid -d]$ and thus F is \mathbb{F} -ji Conversely, assume $d \mid n$. As above, $[\tilde{E} : \mathbb{F}_q] = d$, and thus \tilde{E} is \mathbb{F}_q -isomorphic to \mathbb{F}_{q^d} . Since $d \mid n$, $\mathbb{F}_{q^d} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, and consequently, $E \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$, as desired.
- 3. Note that for any $d \mid n$, and any $f \in I_d$, $f(X) \mid X^{q^n} X$. Furthermore, since all polynomials in the I_d 's are irreducible over \mathbb{F} they are co-prime. Consequently, the product of all polynomials in I_t for all over \mathbb{F}_q , they are co-prime. Consequently, the product of all polynomials in I_d for all $d \mid n$ must divide $X^{q^n} - X$.
Now every element in \mathbb{F}_q , is algebraic over \mathbb{F}_q and bence has a minimal polynomial Now, every element in \mathbb{F}_{q^n} is algebraic over \mathbb{F}_{q} , and hence has a minimal polynomial over \mathbb{F}_{q} . Furthermore, the degree of the minimal polynomial must divide *n*, since $[\mathbb{F}_{q^n} : \mathbb{F}_q] = n$. Thus, $X^{q^n} - X$, which equals $\prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^n}} (X - \alpha)$, must divide $\prod_{d|n} \prod_{f \in I_d} f(X)$, as can be seen by splitting both polynomials over $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. Consequently, $X^{q^n} - X =$ $\gamma \prod_{d|n} \prod_{f \in I_d} f(X)$ for some $\gamma \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$. But note that all polynomials in I_* are monic, and hence $\gamma = 1$.

¹WLOG assume both *E* and \mathbb{F}_{q^n} to be subsets of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q$

4. Let $\ell(d) := d \cdot |I_d|$. Then $q^n = \sum_{d|n} \ell(d)$, which, by the Möbius inversion formula, implies that

$$
\ell(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)q^d = q^n + \sum_{\substack{d|n\\d\neq n}} \mu(n/d)q^d
$$

But

$$
\left|\sum_{\substack{d|n\\d\neq n}}\mu(n/d)q^d\right|\leq \sum_{\substack{d|n\\d\neq n}}q^d\leq \sum_{d=1}^{n-1}q^d=\frac{q^n-1}{q-1}
$$

Consequently, $\ell(n) > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, as desired. Furthermore, since $\ell(n) = n \cdot |I_n|$, $\ell(n) \ge n$.

Remark: The above proofs were first given by Gauss.

Exercise 4.10. *Let* char(k) = p > 0. A polynomial $f(X) \in k[X]$ is called a *p*-polynomial if it is of the form:

$$
f(X) = a_m X^{p^m} + a_{m-1} X^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + a_1 X^p + a_0 X
$$

Let F be the splitting field of f, and let A be the set of roots of f in F. Prove that f is a p-polynomial if and only if $(A, +_F, 0_F)$ is an *abelian group and all roots have the same multiplicity .*

Proof. Note that

$$
f(X) = a_m X^{p^m} + a_{m-1} X^{p^{m-1}} + \dots + a_e X^{p^e} = a_m (X^{p^e})^{p^{m-e}} + a_{m-1} (X^{p^e})^{p^{m-1-e}} + \dots + a_e X^{p^e} = g(X^{p^e})
$$

where *g* is also a *p*-polynomial. Furthermore, $g'(X) = a_e \neq 0$, and thus *g* is separable, and consequently, all roots of *f*
have the same multiplicity n^e . Furthermore, if *r*, s are roots of *f*, then for any *r*, have the same multiplicity p^e . Furthermore, if r , s are roots of f , then for any x , $y \in \mathbb{F}_p$,

$$
f(xr + ys) = \sum_{i=0} a_i (xr + ys)^{p^i} = \sum_{i=0} a_i (x^{p^i} r^{p^i} + y^{p^i} s^{p^i}) = \sum_{i=0} a_i (xr^{p^i} + ys^{p^i}) = xf(r) + yf(s) = 0
$$

Consequently, the roots of f actually form a \mathbb{F}_p -vector space, which is obviously an abelian group.

Conversely, let A be a subgroup of the additive group of some field of characteristic p . Note that the order of every element of *A* is *p*, and thus by the structure theorem for abelian groups, $A \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^t \cong \mathbb{F}_p^t$ for some *t*, and thus *A* is a
Formulation is a but a projection to Formulation of the protocol and containin \mathbb{F}_p -vector space. We now induct on t. For $t = 1$, the roots are $0, \alpha, \ldots, (p-1)\alpha$ for some α . Note that $X^p - \alpha^{p-1}X$ has $k\alpha$ as roots for $0 \leq k < p$, and thus

$$
\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (X - k\alpha) = X^p - \alpha^{p-1} X
$$

Clearly, $X^p - \alpha^{p-1}X$ is a p-polynomial. Now, suppose the statement is true up to $t = \ell - 1$, and we want to prove it for $t = \ell$.
Thus, let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_k$ be the generators of A and let $h(X)$ be the n-polynomial w Thus, let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_\ell$ be the generators of A, and let $h(X)$ be the p-polynomial with roots in the subspace generated by $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell-1}$. Now,

$$
\prod_{k_1=0}^{p-1} \cdots \prod_{k_\ell=0}^{p-1} \left(X - \sum_{i=1}^\ell k_i \alpha_i \right) = \prod_{k_\ell=0}^{p-1} \prod_{k_1=0}^{p-1} \cdots \prod_{k_{\ell-1}=0}^{p-1} \left((X - k_\ell \alpha_\ell) - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} k_i \alpha_i \right) = \prod_{k_\ell=0}^{p-1} h(X - k_\ell \alpha_\ell) = \prod_{k_\ell=0}^{p-1} (h(X) - k_\ell h(\alpha_\ell))
$$

 $= h(X)^p - h(\alpha_\ell)^{p-1}h(X)$

Since $h(X)$ is a p-polynomial, $h(X)^p - h(\alpha_\ell)^{p-1}h(X)$ is also a p-polynomial. Finally, if all roots have multiplicity p^e , our n-polynomial gets raised to power n^e . But raising a n-polynomial to power n^e gives anot p-polynomial gets raised to power p^e . But raising a p-polynomial to power p^e gives another p-polynomial, so we're
done $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ done.

5 Galois Theory

Exercise 5.1. *Calculate the Galois groups of the following polynomials:*

- *1.* $f(X) := X^3 X t$ over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ *.*
- 2. $f(X) := X^3 + t^2 X t^3$ over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.
- 3. $f(X) := X^n t$ over $\mathbb{C}(t)$.
- 4. $f(X) := (X^2 p_1) \cdots (X^2 p_n)$ over \mathbb{Q} , where p_1, \ldots, p_n are distinct prime numbers.
- 5. $f(X) := X^p 2$ *over* \mathbb{Q} *, where* $p \ge 3$ *is a prime.*

Proof. The groups are as follows:

- 1. We first check the irreducibility of the polynomial over $\mathbb{C}(t)[X]$. By Gauss lemma, it is equivalent to checking irreducibility over $\mathbb{C}[t][X] \cong \mathbb{C}[X, t]$. But f is linear and monic over $\mathbb{C}[X, t]$, and hence irreducible. Now, the discriminant of f is $4 - 27t^2$. We claim that $4 - 27t^2$ is not a square in $\mathbb{C}(t)$. Indeed, if $p, q \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ (gcd(p, q) = 1) are
such that $n^2/a^2 = 4 - 27t^2$, then $a^2 \mid n^2$ which can't be since $\gcd(n, q) = 1$ and t such that $p^2/q^2 = 4 - 27t^2$, then $q^2 | p^2$, which can't be, since $gcd(p, q) = 1$, and thus q is constant. WLOG q is 1, and thus $p^2 = 4 - 27t^2$. Thus p is a linear polynomial, which leads to a contradiction on comparing coefficients.
Thus the Galois group of f is Go Thus the Galois group of f is \mathfrak{S}_3 .
- 2. Put $X = ct$ to obtain $t^3(c^3 + c 1) = 0$, and thus $f(X) = (X \lambda_1 t)(X \lambda_2 t)(X \lambda_3 t)$, where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ are the roots of $c^3 + c 1 = 0$. Thus f splits completely over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ and thus the Galois group is 0. roots of $c^3 + c - 1 = 0$. Thus f splits completely over $\mathbb{C}(t)$, and thus the Galois group is 0.
- 3. The splitting field of f is $\mathbb{C}(t^{1/n})$. Now, if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}(t^{1/n})/\mathbb{C}(t)) =: G$, then $\sigma(t^{1/n}) = t^{1/n} \zeta_n^{k_{\sigma}}$, where ζ_n is the nth root of unity. Thus consider the man $G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ $\sigma \mapsto k$. This ma $\int_{n}^{\kappa_{\sigma}}$, where ζ_n is the *n*
roup bomomorphism of unity. Thus consider the map $G \mapsto \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $\sigma \mapsto k_{\sigma}$. This map is easily verified to be a group homomorphism, and
it is injective since if $k_{\sigma} = 0$, then $\sigma = id$, But $|G| = [C(t^{1/n}) \cdot C(t)] = n$ (since $X^n = t$ is ir it is injective since if $k_{\sigma} = 0$, then $\sigma = \text{id}$. But $|G| = [\mathbb{C}(t^{1/n}) : \mathbb{C}(t)] = n$ (since $X^n - t$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{C}(t)[X]$), and thus the man is surjective and hence an isomorphism. Thus $Gal(\mathbb{C}(t^{1/n})/(C(t))) \approx \mathbb{Z$ thus the map is surjective, and hence an isomorphism. Thus $Gal(C(t^{1/n})/C(t)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.
- 4. Let K/F be a finite Galois extension, and let $\alpha \in K$. Then $tr_{K/F}(\alpha) := \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \sigma(\alpha)$. Note that tr is F-linear. Let K/F be a finite Galois extension, and let $\alpha \in K$. Then $tr_{K/F}(\alpha) := 2_{\sigma \in Gal(K/F)} o(\alpha)$. Note that if is *F*-inear.
Now, suppose *F* is a characteristic 0 field, and let $d \in F \setminus F^2$ be such that $\sqrt{d} \in K$. Then $tr_{K/F}(\sqrt$ consider $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$. Then $\sigma(\sqrt{d}) = \pm \sqrt{d}$. Furthermore, $\sigma(\sqrt{d}) = \sqrt{d}$ if and only if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F(\sqrt{d}))$. But consider $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$. Then $\sigma(\text{V}a) = \pm \text{V}a$. Furthermore, $\sigma(\text{V}a) = \text{V}a$ if and only if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F(\text{V}a))$. But $[K : F] = 2[K : F(\sqrt{d})]$, and thus exactly half of the automorphisms in Gal(K/F) map \sqrt{d} to $\sqrt{d$ map it to $-\sqrt{d}$, and thus the trace is 0, as desired.
We now claim that $[F(\sqrt{p_{\text{test}}}) \cdot F] = 2$, where $F =$

map it to $-va$, and thus the trace is 0, as desired.
We now claim that $[E(\sqrt{p_{i+1}}): E] = 2$, where $E := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p_1}, \dots, \sqrt{p_i}) = \mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{p_1}, \dots, \sqrt{p_i}]$. To show this, it is enough to show that $\sqrt{p_{i+1}} \notin E$. AFTSOC it is. Now, a typical element of E looks like $\sum_{S \subseteq [i]} a_S \sqrt{d_S}$, $d_S := \prod_{j \in S} p_j$. Note that $\sqrt{d_S} \notin \mathbb{Q}$ if $S \neq \emptyset$. Thus,

$$
\sqrt{p_{i+1}} = \sum_{S \subseteq [i]} a_S \sqrt{d_S} \implies \text{tr}_{E(\sqrt{p_{i+1}})/E}(\sqrt{p_{i+1}}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [i]} a_S \text{tr}_{E(\sqrt{p_{i+1}})/E}(\sqrt{d_S}) \implies 0 = a_\emptyset
$$

Now,

$$
p_{i+1} = \sum_{S \neq \emptyset} a_S \sqrt{d_S p_{i+1}} \implies \text{tr}_{E(\sqrt{p_{i+1}})/E}(p_{i+1}) = \sum_{S \subseteq [i]} a_S \text{tr}_{E(\sqrt{p_{i+1}})/E}(\sqrt{d_S p_{i+1}}) \implies p_{i+1} \cdot |\text{Gal}(E(\sqrt{p_{i+1}})/E)| = 0
$$

which leads to a contradiction.

which leads to a contradiction.
Thus, the desired Galois group (say G) has order 2ⁿ. Now, let $\sigma \in G$. Then $\sigma(\sqrt{p_i}) = \pm \sqrt{\frac{p_i}{n}}$ $\overline{p_i}$ for all *i*, and thus $\sigma^2 = id$.
Analian Thus by structure Thus G is a group where every element has order 2. Then by standard group theory, G is abelian. Thus, by structure theorem, *G* is isomorphic to the product of cyclic groups. Now, if the size of any of those cyclic groups is > 2, then
G would have an element of order > 2. Thus *Gal(O(, m, , , m,)* / 0) \approx (7./27)^{*n*} theorem, G is isomorphic to the product or cyclic groups. Now, if the size of any G would have an element of order > 2 . Thus, $Gal(Q(\sqrt{p_1}, \ldots, \sqrt{p_n})/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$. √

5. The splitting field of f is $E := \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[q]{2}, \zeta_p)$. Now, consider the split short exact sequence:

$$
1 \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \hookrightarrow \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow 1
$$

where the splitting $Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \to Gal(E/\mathbb{Q})$ is just an inclusion (where $\sigma \in Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q})$ is extended to E by setting $\sigma(\sqrt[p]{2}) = \sqrt[p]{2}$. Thus, $Gal(E/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)) \approx Gal(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. Now, note that $Gal(f)$ is non-abelian: Indeed, define $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(f)$ as $\sigma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^2$, $\sigma(\sqrt[p]{2}) = \sqrt[p]{2}$, $\tau(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p$, $\tau(\sqrt[p]{2}) = \sqrt[p]{2}\zeta_p$, and note that $\sigma\tau(\sqrt[p]{2}) = \sqrt[p]{2}\zeta_p^2 \neq \sqrt[p]{2}\zeta_p = \tau\sigma(\sqrt[p]{2}) \implies \sigma\tau \neq \tau\sigma$. We also claim that there is a unique non-abelian semi-
direct product $\mathbb{Z}^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}$ (upto isomorphism): Indeed non-abelian semi-direct products corres direct product $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$ (upto isomorphism): Indeed, non-abelian semi-direct products correspond to non-trivial homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. Let G_1 be the semi-direct product corresponding to $\varphi^{(1)} : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, and G_2 be the semi-direct product corresponding to $\varphi^{(2)} : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. Suppose $\varphi^{(1)} = x \mapsto x\alpha$ (where $\gcd(\alpha, p-1) = 1$), where *x a* corresponds to the automorphism of \mathbb{Z}_p as $y \mapsto x \alpha \cdot y$. Similarly, let $\varphi^{(2)} = x \mapsto x \alpha'$. Then the isomorphism ψ of \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} sending α to α' induces an isomorphism from G_1 to G_2 : Indeed, \mathbf{r}

$$
\psi((a, b) \cdot_{G_1} (c, d)) = \psi((a \varphi_b^{(1)}(c), bd)) := (\psi(a)\psi(\varphi_b^{(1)}(c)), \psi(b)\psi(d))
$$

$$
(\psi(a), \psi(b)) \cdot_{G_2} (\psi(c), \psi(d)) = (\psi(a)\varphi_{\psi(b)}^{(2)}(\psi(c)), \psi(b)\psi(d))
$$

Thus, if we verify $\psi(\varphi_b^{(1)}(c)) = \varphi_{\psi(b)}^{(2)}$ $\psi(b)a' \cdot \psi(c)$, as desired.
Note that in particular $(\psi^{(2)}_{\psi(b)}(\psi(c))$, we're done. But $\psi(\varphi_b^{(1)})$ $(\psi_b^{(1)}(c)) = \psi(bc\alpha) = \psi(b)\psi(c)\alpha', \varphi_{\psi(b)}^{(2)}$ $_{\psi(b)}^{(2)}(\psi(c)) =$

Note that in particular, the non-abelian semi-direct product can be given by the identity $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$. Thus \mathbf{r} $Gal(f) = \mathbb{Z}_p \times_{\varphi} \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times}$, where $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\times} \longrightarrow Aut(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is the identity homomorphism. \overline{a}

□

Exercise 5.2. Let $f(X) = X^4 + aX^2 + b \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ *be an irreducible quartic with roots* $\pm \alpha$, $\pm \beta$, *where* α , $\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. Let *E be the* enditting field of f. Prove that: *splitting field of . Prove that:*

- *1.* $4 \leq [E : \mathbb{Q}] \leq 8$.
- 2. Gal(f) = D_8 , $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
- *3.* Gal(f) = $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ *if* $\alpha/\beta \beta/\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$.
- *4.* $Gal(f) = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ *if* $\alpha\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$ *.*
- *5.* Gal(f) = D_8 *otherwise.*
- *Proof.* 1. Since f is irreducible, $\mathbb{Q}[X]/(f(X))$ is a subfield of E, and thus $[E:\mathbb{Q}] \geq 4$. Moreover, since f is irreducible, Gal(f) $\leq \mathfrak{S}_4$. Now, if $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(f)$, then $\sigma(-\alpha) = -\sigma(\alpha)$, $\sigma(-\beta) = -\sigma(\beta)$. The only permutations in \mathfrak{S}_4 satisfying these conditions are $G := \{id, (\alpha, -\alpha), (\beta, -\beta), (\alpha, -\alpha) \cdot (\beta, -\beta), (\alpha, \beta) \cdot (-\alpha, -\beta), (\alpha, \beta, -\alpha, -\beta), (\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, \beta), (\alpha, -\beta) \cdot (-\alpha, -\beta) \cdot (-\alpha, -\beta), (\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, -\beta), (\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, -\beta) \cdot (-\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, -\beta) \}$ $(\beta, -\alpha)$. Note that $\mathcal{G} \cong D_8$ (since \mathcal{G} is a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_4 of size 8, i.e. \mathcal{G} is a 2-Sylow subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_4), and thus $Gal(f) \leq D_8$, as desired.
	- 2. Since Gal(f) $\leq D_8$, and $|\text{Gal}(f)| \geq 4$, $|\text{Gal}(f)| = 4$, 8. The only groups of order 4 are $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, and the only group of order 8 which is also a subgroup of D_8 is of course D_8 itself.
	- 3. Since $\alpha/\beta \beta/\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sigma(\alpha)/\sigma(\beta) \sigma(\beta)/\sigma(\alpha) = \alpha/\beta \beta/\alpha$. The only permutations which do that are {id, $(\alpha, -\alpha)$ · $(\beta, -\beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta, -\alpha, -\beta)$, $(\alpha, -\beta, -\alpha, \beta)$ } =: G_1 . Since $|Gal(f)| \geq 4$, we must have $Gal(f) = G_1$. Furthermore, note that $(\alpha, \beta, -\alpha, -\beta) \in G_1$ has order 4. Thus $G_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$.
	- 4. Since $\alpha\beta \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sigma(\alpha\beta) = \alpha\beta$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(f)$. The only permutations which do that are {id, $(\alpha, -\alpha) \cdot (\beta, -\beta)$, $(\alpha, \beta) \cdot$ $(-\alpha, -\beta)$, $(\alpha, -\beta) \cdot (\beta, -\alpha)$ } =: G_2 . Since $|Gal(f)| \geq 4$, we must have $Gal(f) = G_2$. Furthermore, note that every element in G_2 has order 2. Thus $G_2 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
	- 5. The only subgroups of G of size 4 are G_1 , G_2 , $\{id, (\alpha, -\alpha) \cdot (\beta, -\beta), (\alpha, -\alpha), (\beta, -\beta)\} =: G_3$. Note that $Gal(f) \neq G_1$, G_2 , since they fix $\alpha/\beta - \beta/\alpha$ and $\alpha\beta$ respectively. Gal(f) $\neq G_3$ either, since no element of G_3 takes α to β , and Gal(f) being the Galois group of an irreducible polynomial must act transitively on its roots. Consequently, Gal(f) $\cong D_8$.

□

Exercise 5.3. Let $f \in k[X]$ be an irreducible quartic such that $|Gal(f)| = 8$. Then $Gal(f) = D_8$.

Proof. Note that $Gal(f) \leq G_4$. A subgroup of G_4 of size 8 must be a 2-Sylow subgroup of G_4 . Now, note that all Sylow subgroups of the same cardinality are isomorphic to each other (since they are conjugate to each other), and the 2-Sylow subgroups of \mathfrak{S}_4 are isomorphic to D_8 , so we're done. \Box

Exercise 5.4. Let p be a prime. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree p such that f has exactly two non-real roots. *Then the Galois group of* f *is* \mathfrak{S}_p *.*

Proof. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be the splitting field of f. Note that $\mathbb{Q}[X]/(f(X))$ is a subfield of E with degree p. Consequently, $|p| |[E:\mathbb{Q}] \implies p| |[Gal_0(f)]$. Thus, by Cauchy's theorem, there exists an element of order p in $Gal_0(f)$.

Also note that since f has exactly two non-real roots, they must be conjugates of each other. Then the automorphism ι \mapsto − ι of C induces an order 2 automorphism of E over Q, i.e. an automorphism which maps one non-real root to the other, and keeps all the real roots fixed. Thus, $Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}(f)$ has an order 2 element. Furthermore, the order 2 element is actually a transposition, since it must map one non-real root to its conjugate (it is here that we use the fact that there are exactly two non-real roots: If there were more than two non-real roots, then the restriction of complex conjugation could have been a composition of > 1 transpositions).

Finally, also note that $Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) \leq \mathfrak{S}_p$. Now, since $Gal_{\mathbb{Q}}(f)$ contains a p-cycle and a 2-cycle, it must actually be equal to \mathfrak{S}_p , as desired. \overline{a} as desired.

Remark: Recall from group theory that $(12 \dots n)$, (ab) generate \mathfrak{S}_n if and only if $gcd(|a - b|, n) = 1$. In particular, if p is prime, then a *p*-cycle and a 2-cycle generate \mathfrak{S}_p .

Exercise 5.5. Let E/k be a finite separable extension of degree p, where p is prime. Let $E = k(\theta)$, and let the conjugates of θ be $\theta = \theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p$. Suppose $\theta_2 \in k(\theta)$. Then E/k is Galois.

Proof. Let $L = k(\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p)$ be the normal closure of θ over k. Note that $p \mid [L:k]$, and thus Gal(L/k) has an element σ of order p by Cauchy's theorem. Note that σ is a p-cycle over $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_p$, i.e. σ is a cyclic permutation on $\theta_{r_1}, \ldots, \theta_{r_p}$. Choose t such that $\sigma^t(\theta_1) = \theta_2$. Replace σ by σ^t . Now, since $\theta_2 \in E$, and $\deg_k(\theta_2) = p$. Thus $E = k(\theta_2)$. Now, $[\sigma(E) : k] = p$, and $\theta_2 \in \sigma(E)$ and thus $\sigma(E) = k(\theta_2) = E$. Similarly $\theta_2 \in \sigma(E)$ (since $\theta_2 \in E$) implying $\theta_2 \in \sigma(E)$, and thus $\sigma(E) = k(\theta_2) = E$. Similarly, $\theta_3 \in \sigma(E)$ (since $\theta_2 \in E$), implying $\theta_3 \in E$. Continuing, we get that $E = L$, as desired. as desired. \Box

Exercise 5.6. *Let* $f(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ *such that* $\text{Gal}_{\mathbb{Q}}(f) = \mathfrak{S}_n$ *, where* $n = \text{deg}(f) \geq 3$ *. Then:*

- *1. is irreducible.*
- 2. Aut_{$\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)) = \{id\}.$}
- 3. $\alpha^n \notin \mathbb{Q}$ if $n \geq 4$.

Proof. The proofs are as follows:

- 1. Let $f(X) = f_1(X)^{n_1} \cdots f_r(X)^{n_r}$ be the decomposition of f into irreducible polynomials, where $\deg(f_i) = d_i$. Note that the decree of the splitting field of f over \bigcap is at most $d_1(d_2) \cdots d_n$ which is strictly less tha the degree of the splitting field of f over \mathbb{Q} is at most $d_1!d_2!\cdots d_r!$, which is strictly less than $n!$ unless $r = 1$, $n_r = 1$.
- 2. Let σ be a non-trivial Q-automorphism of $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Then σ sends α to $\alpha_2 \neq \alpha$. Since $\alpha_2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}[\alpha]$, there exists $p(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $\alpha_2 = p(\alpha)$. Now, pick any element τ in the Galois group of f such that $\tau(\alpha) = \alpha$. Then $\tau(\alpha_2) = \tau(p(\alpha)) = p(\tau(\alpha)) = \alpha_2$. But there are elements of \mathfrak{S}_n which fix α yet move α_2 , leading to a contradiction.
- 3. If $\alpha^n = q \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $p(\alpha) = 0$, where $p(X) := X^n q$. Since p is a monic polynomial of degree n, p is the minimal polynomial of α . Now the splitting field of n is $\mathbb{Q}(a^{1/n}, \zeta)$. But $\mathbb{Q}(a^{1/n}) \cdot \mathbb{Q} = n$ (sinc polynomial of α . Now, the splitting field of p is $\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/n}, \zeta_n)$. But $[\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/n}) : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ (since p is irreducible), and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(n)$ where $\phi(.)$ is the Fuler totient function. Thus $[\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/n}, \z$ $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] = \phi(n)$, where $\phi(\cdot)$ is the Euler totient function. Thus $[\mathbb{Q}(q^{1/n}, \zeta_n) : \mathbb{Q}] \le n\phi(n) \le n(n-1) < n!$ for $n > 4$ which is a contradiction. $n \geq 4$, which is a contradiction.

Exercise 5.7. Let $f(X) \in k[X]$ where $k \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Suppose f is irreducible over k, and suppose f has a non-real root of absolute value 1. *Then if* $f(\alpha) = 0$, then $f(1/\alpha) = 0$. Furthermore, f is of even degree.

Proof. Suppose $f(\omega) = 1$, with $|\omega| = 1$. Then $f(\overline{\omega}) = 0$, since f has real coefficients. Suppose $f(\alpha) = 0$. Then there exists $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\hat{f})$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \omega$. Also write $\beta := \sigma^{-1}(\overline{\omega})$. Then $\sigma(\alpha\beta) = \sigma(\alpha)\sigma(\beta) = \omega \cdot \overline{\omega} = |\omega|^2 = 1$. Thus $\alpha\beta = 1$, i.e. $\beta = 1/\alpha$. Note that f doesn't have 1 as a root, since it is irreducible over k. Thus, the number of roots of f must be even (simply pair every root of f with its reciprocal). (simply pair every root of f with its reciprocal).

Exercise 5.8. Let E/k be Galois, and let H be a subgroup of $G := Gal(E/k)$ such that H maps F to itself. Show that H is the *normalizer of* $Gal(E/F)$ *in* $Gal(E/k)$ *.*

Proof. Note that $H = \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/k) : \sigma(F) \subseteq F \} = \{ \sigma \in \text{Gal}(E/k) : \sigma(F) = F \}$. Suppose $\sigma \in H$. For any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$, note that $\sigma(\tau(\sigma^{-1}(x))) = x$ for any $x \in F$. Thus $\sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \in \text{Gal}(E/F) \implies H \subseteq N_G(\text{Gal}(E/F))$. Conversely, suppose $\sigma \in N_G(\text{Gal}(E/F))$. Let $x \in F$, $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$ be arbitrary. Since $\sigma \in N_G(\text{Gal}(E/F))$, $\sigma^{-1}\tau\sigma = \tau' \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$, and thus $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E/F)$. $\tau \sigma x = \sigma \tau' x$. But $\tau' \in \text{Gal}(E/F) \implies \tau' x = x$, and thus τ fixes $\sigma(x)$ for all $x \in F$, i.e. $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ fixes $\sigma(x)$. Thus $\sigma(x) \in F$ by the Calois correspondence i.e. $\sigma(F) \subset F \longrightarrow \sigma(F) - F$ as desired the Galois correspondence, i.e. $\sigma(F) \subseteq F \implies \sigma(F) = F$, as desired. □

Exercise 5.9. Let E/k be finite Galois with $G := Gal(E/k)$. Let a be an element such that $\{\sigma(a) : \sigma \in Gal(E/k)\}$ is a k-basis of E . Let H be a subgroup of G, and let $F = E^H$. Let $\{H\tau\}$ be the right cosets of G over H. Define $S(H\tau) = \sum_{\sigma \in H\tau} \sigma(a)$. Then $\{S(H\tau)\}$ *is a -basis for .*

Proof. Suppose $\{S(H\tau_i): 1 \leq i \leq r\}$ is linearly dependent. Then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i S(H\tau_i) = 0 \implies \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\sigma \in H\tau_i} \alpha_i \sigma(a) = 0 \implies \alpha_i = 0
$$

Furthermore, $[F : k] = r$, thus the aforementioned set is a basis. \Box

Exercise 5.10. Let $f \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree ≥ 3 . Let S be the set of roots of f in \mathbb{C} . Then S can't contain a *non-trivial arithmetic progression.*

Proof. Since f is irreducible, it has distinct roots. Suppose $\alpha = (\alpha' + \alpha'')/2$, where $\alpha, \alpha', \alpha'' \in S$. Since Gal(f) acts transitively on S for all $\beta \in S$ we have $\alpha \in Gal(f)$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$ and thus $\beta = (\sigma(\alpha') + \sigma(\alpha''))/2$ transitively on S, for all $\beta \in S$, we have $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(f)$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) = \beta$, and thus $\beta = (\sigma(\alpha') + \sigma(\alpha''))/2$. Thus, every element of S is an average of two other elements of S. This is not possible: Indeed, let $n \in S$ hav of *S* is an average of two other elements of *S*. This is not possible: Indeed, let $\eta \in S$ have the largest real part. Then the line $x = \mathcal{R}(\eta)$ has at least two other elements of S. Among those elements, take the element with the largest imaginary part. That can't be the average of any two other elements. Leading to a contradiction part. That can't be the average of any two other elements, leading to a contradiction.

Exercise 5.11. *Prove that there doesn't exist a Galois field extension* K/k *such that* $Gal(K/k) \cong \mathbb{R}$ *.*

Proof. AFTSOC not. Choose $\alpha \in K \setminus k$, and let L be the normal closure of $k(\alpha)$ over k. Since L/k is a finite normal extension, $Gal(K/L)$ is a normal subgroup of $Gal(K/k)$ of finite index. Thus, if we can show that R has no proper subgroups of finite index, then we'd be done.

Indeed, suppose $H < \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\mathbb{R}/H| = n < \infty$. Choose $x \notin H$. Since the quotient group \mathbb{R}/H has order $n, nx \in H$. Now, consider the set $\{x/n^k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. It is infinite, and since H has only finitely many cosets, we must have $x/n^{k_1} - x/n^{k_2} \in H$ for some $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_2 > k_1$. But then we have $x(n^{k_2-k_1}-1) \in H$ At the for some $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k_2 > k_1$. But then we have $x(n^{k_2-k_1}-1) \in H$. At the same time, $nx \in H \implies n^{k_2-k_1}x \in H$.
But then $n^{k_2-k_1}x = x(n^{k_2-k_1}-1) - x \in H$ which leads to a contradiction But then $n^{\overline{k}_2 - \overline{k}_1} x - x(n^{\overline{k}_2 - \overline{k}_1} - 1) = x \in H$, which leads to a contradiction.

Remark: The above proof works verbatim to show that $Gal(K/k) \neq G$, where G is a *divisible group*. Recall that an abelian group *G* is called divisible if for every $x \in G$, $x \neq 0$, and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $y \in G$ such that $ny = x$.