

# Cheeger, Arora-Rao-Vazirani, and Buser: The Saga of the Sparsest Cut

Arpon Basu   Anand Brahmhatt   Rohit Agarwal

December 5, 2024

# Roadmap

1. Sparsest Cut Problem
2. Cheeger's Inequality
3. Arora-Rao-Vazirani Algorithm
4. Buser's Inequality: Upgrading Cheeger for Cayley Graphs

## The Second Eigenvalue

- ▶ For this talk, we will assume all graphs are unweighted,  $d$ -regular and connected.
- ▶ Define  $A$  as the adjacency matrix, then as usual define the Laplacian  $L = I - \frac{A}{d}$ .
- ▶ Call the eigenvalues as  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n < 2$ .
- ▶ Recall the all ones vector  $\mathbf{1}$  is the vector with e-val 0.
- ▶ Variational definition of  $\lambda_2$ :

$$\lambda_2 = \min_{\langle x, \mathbf{1} \rangle = 0, x \neq 0} R(x)$$

where

$$R(x) = \frac{x^T L x}{x^T x}$$

# Sparsest Cut Problem

- ▶ Sparsity/Conductance of a Cut  $(S, \bar{S})$ :

$$\phi(S) = \frac{e(S, \bar{S})}{d|S|}$$

- ▶ Conductance of a Graph

$$\phi(G) = \min_{|S| \leq n/2} \phi(S)$$

- ▶ **Sparsest Cut Problem:** Find cut witnessing conductance.

## Spectral View of Sparsest Cut

- ▶  $\phi(G)$  can be seen as a “combinatorial analogue” of  $\lambda_2$ .
- ▶ If instead you only allow 0-1 vectors (i.e. integral cuts), then:

$$\mathbf{1}_S^T L \mathbf{1}_S = \frac{e(S, \bar{S})}{d}$$

- ▶ And one can show if one orthogonalizes  $y_S = \frac{\mathbf{1}_S}{|S|} - \frac{\mathbf{1}}{n}$  that

$$R(y_S) = \frac{\phi(S)}{|S|/n}$$

- ▶ Which means, if  $|S| \leq n/2$  and  $\phi(S) = \phi(G)$ , that

$$\lambda_2 \leq R(y_S) \leq 2\phi(G)$$

# Cheeger's Inequality

- ▶ Cheeger's Inequality [AM85]:

$$\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2 \leq \phi(G) \leq \sqrt{2\lambda_2}$$

- ▶ Algorithmic Proof idea: Show that there exists a randomized algorithm that gives a cut with expected conductance  $\phi(S) \leq \sqrt{2\lambda_2}$ .
- ▶ Randomized algorithm [Spi19], though trivially derandomized
  1. Find 2nd eigenvector,  $y$ .
  2. Relabel the vertices such that  $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots \leq y_n$ .
  3. Pick  $i \in [n]$  u.a.r and output  $S = [i]$ .
- ▶  $\implies \lambda_2$  gives  $\sqrt{1/\phi(G)}$ -approx for sparsest cut.

## Arora-Rao-Vazirani Algorithm [ARV09]

- ▶ Relax Sparsest Cut Problem to the following quantity, which is a 2-approximation

$$\psi(G) := \min_S \frac{e(S, \bar{S})}{\frac{d}{n} |S| |\bar{S}|}$$

## Arora-Rao-Vazirani Algorithm [ARV09]

- ▶ Relax Sparsest Cut Problem to the following quantity, which is a 2-approximation

$$\psi(G) := \min_S \frac{e(S, \bar{S})}{\frac{d}{n}|S||\bar{S}|}$$

- ▶ Relax further to rational function (can be minimized by SDP)

$$\text{minimize } \frac{\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \|x_i - x_j\|^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{i,j} \|x_i - x_j\|^2}$$



## Arora-Rao-Vazirani Algorithm [ARV09]

- ▶ Relax Sparsest Cut Problem to the following quantity, which is a 2-approximation

$$\psi(G) := \min_S \frac{e(S, \bar{S})}{\frac{d}{n} |S| |\bar{S}|}$$

- ▶ Relax further to rational function (can be minimized by SDP)

$$\text{minimize } \frac{\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \|x_i - x_j\|^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{i,j} \|x_i - x_j\|^2}$$

- ▶ Tighten the relaxation by adding triangle inequalities, which would hold if  $x_i$ 's were 0-1 indicator of a cut.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{subject to } & x_i \in \mathbb{R}^n && \forall i \in [n] \\ & \|x_i - x_j\|^2 + \|x_j - x_k\|^2 \geq \|x_i - x_k\|^2 && \forall i, j, k \in [n] \end{aligned}$$

# Arora-Rao-Vazirani Algorithm [ARV09]

- ▶ Property of the relaxation:

$$\lambda_2 \leq \text{ARV}(G) \leq \psi(G)$$

- ▶ In their paper, ARV find a rounding scheme which achieves  $O(\sqrt{\log n})$ -approximation to  $\text{ARV}(G)$ .
- ▶ Idea: Use  $g \sim \mathcal{N}(0, I)$  and  $y_i = \langle x_i, g \rangle$  to construct a linear embedding. Then round using thresholds of that embedding.

# Cheeger's Inequality on Riemannian Manifolds

- ▶ Cheeger proved  $\phi(M) \leq O(\sqrt{\lambda_2})$
- ▶ However,  $\phi(M) \geq \frac{\lambda_2}{2}$  doesn't hold
  - ▶ Indicators of sets are not smooth
  - ▶ If you try to smooth them out, then  $\langle f, Lf \rangle = \int_M \|\nabla f\|^2$  is big on the boundary; and  $M$  could have a lot of volume in this region

# Buser's Inequality

- ▶ It turns out, the problem is manifolds with negative curvature.
- ▶ Buser was able to upgrade Cheeger to prove that for manifolds with nonnegative curvature,

$$\lambda_2 \leq 10\phi(M)^2$$

- ▶ Much stronger! Implies  $\phi(M) = \Theta(\sqrt{\lambda_2})$

## Buser's Holds in the Graph Case

It turns out that for some notions of curvature, **Abelian Cayley Graphs** have nonnegative curvature.

### Definition

An Abelian Cayley Graph is given by an abelian group  $G$  and symmetric multiset of group elements  $S = S^{-1}$ . There is a vertex for all  $g \in G$  and edges  $(g, g + s)$  for all  $s \in S$ . We will identify some set of “positive elements”  $S_+ \subseteq S$ .

### Theorem ([GT21])

*Let  $G$  be a  $d$ -regular abelian Cayley graph. Then*

$$\lambda_2(G) \leq O(d) \cdot \text{ARV}(G)^2 \leq O(d) \cdot \psi(G)^2 \leq O(d) \cdot \phi(G)^2$$

► In particular,  $\sqrt{\lambda_2}$  is a  $O(\sqrt{d})$  approximation to  $\phi(G)$ .

## Proof of Buser's Inequality For the Graph Case

- ▶ Let  $G^t$  be the graph with adjacency matrix  $A^t$ . Note that  $\lambda_2(G^t) = 1 - (1 - \lambda_2(G))^t$ .
- ▶ Then, the first inequality can be shown by proving that  $\text{ARV}(G^t) \leq \sqrt{2dt} \cdot \text{ARV}(G)$  and choosing  $t = 1/\lambda_2$

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{1}{e} &\leq 1 - (1 - \lambda_2(G))^t = \lambda_2(G^t) \\ &\leq \text{ARV}(G^t) \leq \sqrt{2dt} \cdot \text{ARV}(G) = \sqrt{\frac{2d}{\lambda_2}} \cdot \text{ARV}(G) \\ &\implies \lambda_2 \leq O(d) \cdot \text{ARV}(G)^2 \end{aligned}$$

## Proof of Buser's Inequality For the Graph Case

- ▶ Note that we can write the ratio of quadratic forms as, for PSD  $X$ :

$$\frac{\sum_{\{i,j\} \in E} \|x_i - x_j\|^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{i,j} \|x_i - x_j\|^2} = \frac{\langle L, X \rangle}{\langle L_n, X \rangle}$$

- ▶ If we permute the rows/cols as  $(X_g)_{ij} = \langle x_{g+i}, x_{g+j} \rangle$  the objective doesn't change, so we can always replace  $X \leftarrow \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_g X_g$ .

- ▶ Then, by symmetry, for  $s \in S$ ,  $\|x_u - x_{u+s}\| = \|x_v - x_{v+s}\|$
- ▶ Thus, the objective becomes

$$\frac{\langle L, X \rangle}{\langle L_n, X \rangle} = \frac{\frac{n}{2} \sum_{s \in S} \|x_s - x_0\|^2}{\frac{d}{n} \sum_{i,j \in G} \|x_i - x_j\|^2} = \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot \frac{\mathbb{E}_{s \sim S} \|x_s - x_0\|^2}{\sum_{i,j \in G} \|x_i - x_j\|^2}$$

# Proof of Buser's Inequality For the Graph Case

- ▶ It suffices to show that

$$\sqrt{2dt} \cdot \mathbb{E}_{s \sim S} \|x_s - x_0\|^2 \geq \mathbb{E}_{s_1, \dots, s_t \sim S} \|x_{s_1 + \dots + s_t} - x_0\|^2$$

- ▶ Let  $s_1 + \dots + s_t = \sum_{s \in S_+} c_s \cdot s$  be the “reduced” version
- ▶ By squared triangle inequality,

$$\|x_{s_1 + \dots + s_t} - x_0\|^2 = \left\| x_{\sum_{s \in S_+} c_s \cdot s} - x_0 \right\|^2 \leq \sum_{s \in S_+} c_s \cdot \|x_s - x_0\|^2$$

- ▶ Note that  $c_s$  is a sum of  $t$  independent  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued random variables (which we call  $X_1, \dots, X_t$ ) with mean 0 which take the value 0 with probability  $1 - 2/d$ .







## Proof of Buser's Inequality For the Graph Case

- ▶ Note that  $c_s$  is a sum of  $t$  independent  $\{0, \pm 1\}$ -valued random variables (which we call  $X_1, \dots, X_t$ ) with mean 0 which take the value 0 with probability  $1 - 2/d$ .
- ▶  $\mathbb{E} c_s^2 = t \mathbb{E} X_1^2 = 2t/d$  and thus by Cauchy-Schwarz  $\mathbb{E} |c_s| \leq \sqrt{2t/d}$ .
- ▶ Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{s \in S_+} c_s \cdot x_s - x_0 \right\|^2 &\leq \sqrt{2t/d} \cdot \sum_{s \in S_+} \mathbb{E} \|x_s - x_0\|^2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{2td} \cdot \mathbb{E} \|x_s - x_0\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

# References

-  N. Alon and V. D. Milman.  
 $\lambda_1$ , isoperimetric inequalities for graphs, and superconcentrators.  
*Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 38(1):73–88, February 1985.
-  Sanjeev Arora, Satish Rao, and Umesh Vazirani.  
Expander flows, geometric embeddings and graph partitioning.  
*J. ACM*, 56(2), April 2009.
-  Shayan Oveis Gharan and Luca Trevisan.  
Arv on abelian cayley graphs, 2021.
-  Daniel A. Spielman.  
*Spectral and Algebraic Graph Theory*.  
Self-published, New Haven, CT, 2019.  
<http://cs-www.cs.yale.edu/homes/spielman/sagt/sagt.pdf>.